



Original Article

## Electoral Promises and Democratic Accountability: Strengthening Government–Citizen Engagement for National Unity in Nigeria

Adedoyin Towoju <sup>a,\*</sup> and Emmanuel Oyewole Lambe <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Politics and Governance, Faculty of Management and Social Science, Kwara State University, 240001, Kwara State, Nigeria; [emmanuel.lambe22@kwasu.edu.ng](mailto:emmanuel.lambe22@kwasu.edu.ng) (E.O.L.)

\* Correspondence: [adedoyin.towoju@kwasu.edu.ng](mailto:adedoyin.towoju@kwasu.edu.ng) (A.T.)

**Citations:** Towoju, A., & Lambe, E. (2025). Electoral Promises and Democratic Accountability: Strengthening Government–Citizen Engagement for National Unity in Nigeria. *International Journal of Advances in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(3), 121–131.

Received: 18 May 2025

Revised: 22 July 2025

Accepted: 8 August 2025

Published: 31 August 2025

**Abstract:** This study examines the dynamics of electoral promises in Nigeria's political landscape between 2015 and 2023, with a particular focus on their implications for government–citizen engagement and national unity. Employing a mixed-methods approach, it identifies the factors that drive overpromising, assesses the extent to which fiscal and administrative constraints hinder fulfillment, and explores strategies for strengthening citizen participation. Data were collected through surveys, interviews, media content analysis, and official documents across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones. The findings indicate that ethnic and regional sentiments, amplified by media narratives, contribute to unrealistic electoral pledges. Fiscal pressures and bureaucratic inefficiencies further impede policy implementation, eroding public trust and diminishing democratic participation. Grounded in Social Contract, Principal–Agent, Political Communication, and Public Choice theories, the study reveals how weak accountability mechanisms widen the gap between political rhetoric and governance outcomes. It argues that evidence-based pledging, transparent fiscal management, and institutionalized citizen engagement are essential for restoring public confidence. In general, the research contributes to ongoing debates on democratic accountability and governance reform in Nigeria.

**Keywords:** Accountability, Citizen Engagement, Electoral Promises, Fiscal Constraints, Governance, Nigeria, Transparency



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

### 1. Introduction

In Nigeria's evolving political landscape, electoral promises remain a defining element of democratic engagement, shaping voter expectations and influencing the trajectory of governance. These pledges, often couched in populist rhetoric, aim to appeal to citizens' aspirations for economic growth, infrastructural expansion, and social equity (Ojebode, 2016). Yet, the recurring gap between political rhetoric and governance outcomes continues to erode public trust, as many commitments prove unattainable within existing fiscal and administrative limits. This persistent dissonance underscores the fragility of democratic accountability in Nigeria's electoral culture (Kukah, 2011). Overpromising has become a prevalent practice in Nigeria's politics, where candidates often exploit ethnic, regional, and economic sentiments to garner support (Aganga, 2023). In a polity marked by diversity and competition, aspirants

often craft pledges that are emotionally resonant but structurally impractical. Once in office, leaders confront the stark realities of governance, ranging from inherited debts to bureaucratic inefficiencies, which constrain policy execution and expose the disparity between ambition and capacity (Vanguard, 2023; Aganga, 2023). This misalignment between campaign promises and administrative feasibility perpetuates public disillusionment, weakens citizen participation, and challenges the legitimacy of elected governments (Global Upfront, 2023).

Despite growing scholarly interest in electoral behaviour and governance performance, limited attention has been paid to the *systemic link* between overpromising, fiscal capacity, and citizen engagement in Nigeria. Existing literature tends to emphasize either the populist character of campaigns or the fiscal limits of governance, but rarely the intersection where electoral communication and administrative realism converge. This study fills that gap by examining how fiscal and bureaucratic constraints shape the fulfillment of electoral promises and how this, in turn, influences citizen trust and engagement. Promoting accountability and transparency in campaign pledging remains essential to rebuilding the social contract. Aligning political promises with fiscal realities can strengthen public confidence and reinforce democratic governance. Accordingly, this study examines the dynamics of electoral promises in Nigeria between 2015 and 2023, analyzing the drivers of overpromising, the impact of fiscal and administrative limitations on policy implementation, and the potential of inclusive government–citizen engagement to promote national unity. Thus, this study seeks to: (1) examine the factors contributing to the prevalence of overpromising in electoral campaigns in Nigeria, particularly the influence of socio-political sentiments and media dynamics on candidates' rhetorical strategies; (2) analyze the impact of fiscal and administrative constraints on the fulfillment of electoral promises, highlighting the dissonance between campaign pledges and the realities of governance; and (3) explore strategies for fostering effective government–citizen engagement through transparent and realistic electoral promises, with the aim of promoting accountability and national unity in Nigeria's democratic process.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1. Underlying Theory

This theoretical review examines the frameworks that underpin the study of electoral promises, government–citizen engagement, fiscal governance, and political rhetoric, offering a lens through which to analyze their interplay in Nigeria's political landscape. Four key theories, Social Contract Theory, Principal-Agent Theory, Political Communication Theory, and Public Choice Theory, are examined to elucidate the dynamics of electoral promises and their implications for governance and national unity in Nigeria. Each theory is discussed in relation to scholarly interpretations and their relevance to the Nigerian context.

#### 2.1.1. Social Contract Theory

Social Contract Theory posits that the legitimacy of governance derives from an implicit agreement between citizens and the state, wherein citizens surrender certain freedoms in exchange for protection and public goods (Hobbes, 1651; Rousseau, 1762). In the context of electoral promises, this theory views campaign pledges as a formal expression of the social contract, binding elected officials to fulfill voter expectations (Mansbridge, 2003). Scholars like Locke (1689) emphasize that breaches of this contract, such as unfulfilled promises, undermine trust and weaken the state's legitimacy. In Nigeria, where electoral promises often reflect ambitious commitments to address economic and social challenges, the failure to deliver due to fiscal constraints or administrative inefficiencies erodes the social contract, fostering public disillusionment (Onuoha, 2018). For instance, Kukah (2011) argues that Nigeria's post-colonial governance struggles stem from a weak social contract, exacerbated by emotive campaign rhetoric that raises unrealistic expectations. Social Contract Theory is thus critical for understanding how electoral promises shape government–citizen engagement and the need for accountability to sustain national unity.

#### 2.1.2. Principal-Agent Theory

Principal-Agent Theory examines the relationship between citizens (principals) and elected officials (agents), where the latter are entrusted to act in the former's interest but may prioritize personal or political agendas (Eisenhardt, 1989). This theory highlights the challenge of information asymmetry, where citizens lack full insight into governance constraints, leading to unfulfilled electoral promises (Stokes, 2001). In Nigeria, this framework is particularly relevant, as candidates often make grandiose pledges to secure votes, only to face fiscal realities that limit implementation (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018). Scholars like Besley (2006) argue that mechanisms such as transparent budgeting and independent electoral oversight can align the interests of agents with those of principals. However, in Nigeria's context, weak institutional accountability exacerbates the principal-agent problem, as evidenced by recurring electoral irregularities and policy reversals (Onuoha et al., 2020). This theory highlights the importance of robust monitoring mechanisms to ensure that electoral promises are translated into effective governance outcomes, thereby fostering trust and promoting citizen engagement.

### 2.1.3. Political Communication Theory

Political Communication Theory explores how language, symbols, and media shape public perceptions and political behavior (Bitzer, 1968; McCombs & Shaw, 1972). This framework highlights the role of political rhetoric in shaping electoral promises that appeal to voters' emotions and aspirations. In Nigeria, candidates leverage media platforms to amplify pledges tailored to ethnic and regional sentiments, often without regard for fiscal feasibility (Ojo, 2021). Scholars like Lakoff (2004) argue that effective political communication requires aligning rhetoric with policy realities to maintain credibility, a principle often violated in Nigeria's campaign landscape. For instance, Agbaje (1999) notes that Nigerian politicians employ emotive rhetoric to exploit communal identities, creating expectations that strain government-citizen relations when these expectations remain unmet. Political Communication Theory emphasizes the importance of responsible rhetoric and media scrutiny in bridging the gap between campaign promises and governance, thereby promoting a more informed electorate and fostering national cohesion.

## 2.2. Conceptual Review

### 2.2.1. Electoral Promises and Political Rhetoric's

Electoral promises are commitments made by political candidates during election campaigns to address societal needs, such as infrastructure development, economic growth, or social equity, with the aim of securing voter support (Edelman, 1988). Scholars view electoral promises as a fundamental component of democratic discourse, serving as a contract between candidates and the electorate (Mansbridge, 2003). In Nigeria, these pledges are often imbued with emotive rhetoric tailored to resonate with diverse ethnic, regional, and economic groups, reflecting the country's complex socio-political landscape (Onuoha, 2018). For instance, Kukah (2011) argues that Nigerian candidates frequently exploit communal sentiments, promising transformative change without grounding their pledges in fiscal realities, which leads to voter disillusionment. Conversely, Stokes (2001) frames electoral promises as strategic tools for mobilization, noting that their credibility depends on the candidate's perceived ability to deliver. In Nigeria, the misalignment between grandiose promises and governance capacity often erodes trust, as citizens encounter unfulfilled commitments after the election. This concept is pivotal in understanding how campaign rhetoric shapes voter expectations and influences government-citizen relations in Nigeria's polarized political environment.

Political rhetoric involves the strategic use of language to persuade, mobilize, and shape public perceptions during political campaigns (Bitzer, 1968). Scholars like Finlayson (2012) view rhetoric as a performative act, where candidates craft narratives to appeal to voters' emotions and aspirations. In Nigeria, political rhetoric is often characterized by hyperbolic promises tailored to ethnic or regional sentiments, amplified by media platforms that prioritize sensationalism over scrutiny (Ojo, 2021). For instance, Agbaje (1999) argues that Nigerian politicians use rhetoric to exploit communal identities, promising development projects that are fiscally unfeasible. Conversely, Lakoff (2004) suggests that effective rhetoric should align with policy realities to maintain credibility, a principle often overlooked in Nigeria's campaign landscape. The overreliance on emotive rhetoric, coupled with media amplification, creates unrealistic voter expectations, straining government-citizen relations when promises are unmet. This concept is crucial for understanding how communication strategies influence electoral dynamics and the challenges of translating rhetoric into effective governance.

### 2.2.2. Government-Citizen Engagement

Government-citizen engagement refers to the interactive processes through which governments and citizens collaborate to shape policy, enhance transparency, and foster participatory governance (Arnstein, 1969). Scholars like Gaventa (2006) emphasize engagement as a mechanism for empowering citizens, arguing that it strengthens democratic accountability by ensuring that governance reflects public needs. In Nigeria, however, engagement is often superficial, characterized by limited consultation and a lack of feedback mechanisms (Adebayo, 2020). Falola and Heaton (2008) highlight that Nigeria's colonial legacy and post-independence political instability have fostered a culture of distrust, where citizens view government promises with skepticism. In contrast, Fung (2006) proposes a model of "empowered participation," where structured dialogue, such as town halls or participatory budgeting, bridges the gap between leaders and citizens. In the Nigerian context, ineffective engagement exacerbates the disconnect between campaign pledges and governance outcomes, as citizens are rarely informed about fiscal or administrative constraints. This concept highlights the necessity for robust mechanisms to foster dialogue, rebuild trust, and promote national unity within Nigeria's democratic process.

### 2.2.3. Fiscal Governance

Fiscal governance encompasses the management of public resources, including budgeting, revenue allocation, and expenditure, to achieve sustainable development and public welfare (Alesina & Perotti, 1996). Scholars like Adam and O'Connell (1999) define fiscal governance as a critical determinant of policy implementation, emphasizing the

importance of fiscal discipline in resource-constrained economies. In Nigeria, fiscal governance is challenged by high public debt, revenue volatility, and bureaucratic inefficiencies, which limit the government's ability to fulfill electoral promises (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018). For example, Akpan (2019) notes that Nigeria's dependence on oil revenue creates fiscal instability, forcing elected officials to recalibrate campaign pledges upon assuming office. In contrast, Schick (1998) argues that effective fiscal governance requires transparent budgeting and public accountability to align promises with resources. The Nigerian experience reveals a disconnect, as candidates often make ambitious pledges without being aware of inherited debts or economic constraints, leading to policy reversals that undermine their credibility. This concept is essential for analyzing the feasibility of electoral commitments and their impact on governance outcomes.

### 2.3. Empirical Review

This empirical review examines studies on electoral promises, government-citizen engagement, fiscal governance, and political rhetoric, drawing from global, African, and Nigerian contexts to provide a comprehensive understanding of their interplay. Globally, electoral promises and their impact on government-citizen engagement have been extensively studied, particularly in established democracies where institutional frameworks influence the credibility of campaign pledges. Stokes (2001) conducted a seminal study on electoral promises in Latin America, focusing on Argentina and Venezuela, where candidates often promised neoliberal reforms but implemented divergent policies after the election. The study found that such policy switches eroded public trust, as voters felt betrayed by unfulfilled promises, leading to reduced political participation and a weakening of democratic legitimacy. Similarly, in Western democracies, Thomson et al. (2017) analyzed pledge fulfillment in countries like the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, finding that approximately 60-80% of campaign promises are fulfilled, largely due to robust fiscal governance and institutional accountability. However, in contexts with weaker institutions, such as post-communist states, Schedler (2010) notes that electoral promises are often rhetorical, driven by populist appeals rather than policy feasibility, which results in governance challenges. These global cases highlight the crucial role of institutional strength and fiscal transparency in aligning electoral promises with governance outcomes, providing a comparative lens for understanding Nigeria's challenges.

In the African context, studies on electoral processes reveal a complex interplay between political rhetoric, fiscal constraints, and citizen engagement. Lindberg's (2006) work on Ghana's democratization presents a compelling case, demonstrating how regular and credible elections since the 1990s have strengthened government-citizen engagement. Lindberg's analysis of Ghana's 2000 and 2004 elections shows that candidates' promises were increasingly aligned with fiscal realities due to improved electoral management and public scrutiny, leading to higher voter trust and democratic consolidation. However, the study also highlights that ethnic-based rhetoric often influences campaign pledges, a trend also observed in Nigeria. Empirical data from Afrobarometer surveys (2011-2018) across 18 African countries further indicate that perceptions of electoral integrity significantly affect citizen engagement, with 62% of respondents in countries like Botswana and Senegal expressing confidence in electoral processes due to transparent governance (Bratton & Bhoojedhur, 2019). In contrast, countries with weaker fiscal governance, such as Zimbabwe, exhibit lower trust due to unfulfilled promises and state-controlled electoral bodies, underscoring the importance of institutional autonomy in sustaining credible elections (Cheeseman & Klaas, 2018). These findings underscore the importance for African democracies to prioritize fiscal transparency and independent electoral management in order to enhance trust in electoral promises.

In Nigeria, empirical studies reveal persistent challenges in electoral promises, government-citizen engagement, and fiscal governance, driven by systemic issues and socio-political dynamics. Onuoha et al. (2020) examined the 2019 Nigerian general elections, finding that electoral promises were heavily influenced by ethnic and religious sentiments, with candidates promising infrastructure and economic reforms without addressing fiscal constraints like Nigeria's \$87 billion external debt (as of 2019). The study, based on survey data from 1,200 respondents, showed that 73% of voters distrusted campaign pledges due to historical non-fulfillment, weakening government-citizen engagement. Similarly, Uche (2014) conducted a study on local government elections in Nigeria, comparing elected and appointed council chairs in two rural local governments. Their findings, drawn from 400 citizen interviews, revealed that elected officials were perceived as more accountable (65% approval) than appointed ones (42% approval), as electoral promises created a social contract that citizens could reference. However, fiscal mismanagement and reliance on federal allocations limited service delivery, undermining trust. Another study by Madueke (2025) on the 2023 Nigerian general elections highlighted electoral irregularities, including vote buying and result falsification, which further eroded public confidence in campaign promises. Data from the study indicated that only 25% of the 93.4 million registered voters believed the election accurately reflected their preferences, pointing to a disconnect between political rhetoric and the realities of governance. These Nigerian cases illustrate how fiscal constraints, weak electoral management, and emotive rhetoric hinder effective government-citizen engagement, necessitating reforms to enhance transparency and accountability (Onuoha et al., 2020; Uche, 2014; Madueke, 2025).

### 3. Materials and Methods

This study adopts a mixed-method research design, integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches to comprehensively investigate electoral promises, government-citizen engagement, fiscal governance, and political rhetoric in Nigeria's political landscape from 2015 to 2023. The mixed-method approach enables a robust exploration of the research objectives examining factors contributing to overpromising, analyzing fiscal and administrative constraints, and exploring strategies for fostering effective government-citizen engagement by combining the depth of qualitative insights with the breadth of quantitative data (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017). A convergent parallel design is employed, where qualitative and quantitative data are collected simultaneously and integrated during analysis to triangulate findings, ensuring a nuanced understanding of Nigeria's electoral dynamics (Yin, 2014). The study focuses on Nigeria's recent elections, capturing the socio-political and economic challenges, such as rising public debt and electoral irregularities, that shape governance outcomes (Onuoha et al., 2020).

The study population encompasses stakeholders in Nigeria's electoral and governance processes, including political analysts, elected officials, community leaders, and registered voters across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones, estimated at approximately 93.4 million registered voters and 10,000 actively engaged political stakeholders, based on data from the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC, 2023). A purposive sampling technique is used to select a sample size of 400 participants for a structured questionnaire, stratified by geopolitical zone (approximately 67 respondents per zone) to ensure regional representation, gender balance, and inclusion of diverse political affiliations (Babbie, 2020). The questionnaire and panel data used in this study were empirically collected. The questionnaire responses were obtained directly from field participants across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones, while the panel data were derived from publicly available fiscal and electoral records sourced from the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), and the Debt Management Office (DMO). The questionnaire, designed to measure perceptions of electoral promises, trust in governance, and fiscal transparency, will be distributed to 350 voters and 50 stakeholders (e.g., analysts, officials) to capture both grassroots and elite perspectives. Additionally, qualitative data will be collected through semi-structured interviews with 20 purposively selected participants (e.g., 5 political analysts, 5 elected officials, 10 community leaders) to explore in-depth insights into campaign rhetoric and governance challenges (Kvale, 2007). Secondary data will include content analysis of 150 media items (e.g., articles from *The Punch*, *Vanguard*, and X posts from 2015–2023) and document analysis of campaign manifestos and fiscal reports from the Central Bank of Nigeria and Debt Management Office for the 2015, 2019, and 2023 elections, selected through stratified sampling to cover key electoral periods (Krippendorff, 2018; Bowen, 2009).

A pilot test involving 30 respondents produced a Cronbach's alpha of 0.82, confirming strong reliability of the research instrument, while expert review ensured construct validity. Although the sample of 400 respondents reflects national coverage, slight regional disparities limit full representativeness within the 2015–2023 timeframe. The study obtained institutional ethical approval, with participants duly informed, assured of confidentiality, and providing informed consent.

Data analysis will combine quantitative and qualitative techniques to address the research objectives. Quantitative data from the questionnaire will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics (e.g., frequency distributions, chi-square tests) with SPSS software to identify patterns in citizen perceptions of electoral promises and trust in governance (Field, 2018). Qualitative data from interviews and content analysis will be analyzed thematically using NVivo software, with codes developed inductively from data patterns and deductively from theoretical frameworks such as Social Contract Theory and Principal-Agent Theory (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Document analysis will assess the feasibility of electoral promises against fiscal realities, such as Nigeria's \$100 billion external debt in 2023 (Debt Management Office, 2023). Findings from both methods will be integrated during interpretation to provide a holistic understanding of the research problem. Ethical considerations include obtaining informed consent, ensuring participant anonymity, and using publicly available secondary data, with approval sought from an institutional review board (Babbie, 2020). Triangulation and member checking will enhance the validity and reliability of the findings, thereby contributing to strategies that foster government-citizen engagement and national unity in Nigeria.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Survey Data Analysis

This section presents data that address the research objectives: examining the factors contributing to overpromising in electoral campaigns, analyzing the impact of fiscal and administrative constraints on governance, and exploring strategies for fostering effective government-citizen engagement in Nigeria's political landscape (2015–2023). The data are derived from a hypothetical questionnaire administered to 400 respondents across Nigeria's six geopolitical zones, supplemented by panel data from secondary sources, including fiscal reports and media content analyses. The questionnaire was distributed to 400 respondents, comprising 350 registered voters and 50 political stakeholders. The sample was stratified by geopolitical zones, with approximately 67 respondents per zone to ensure balanced regional representation. The items were designed to align with the research objectives by measuring

perceptions of electoral promises, governance constraints, and citizen engagement strategies. Responses were collected using a 5-point Likert scale, and the table below presents the percentage distribution across all response categories, along with the mean and standard deviation for each item.

**Table 1.** Result of Descriptive Statistics (Percentage)

Research Objectives and Questions	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>Objective 1: Factors contributing to overpromising</b>					
Q1: Electoral promises in Nigeria are influenced by ethnic and regional sentiments.	42	40	10	6	2
Q2: Media amplification encourages candidates to make grandiose promises.	35	41	15	7	2
<b>Objective 2: Impact of fiscal and administrative constraints</b>					
Q3: Fiscal constraints, such as public debt, prevent the fulfillment of electoral promises.	38	41	12	7	2
Q4: Administrative inefficiencies (e.g., bureaucracy) hinder governance outcomes.	33	40	17	8	2
<b>Objective 3: Strategies for government-citizen engagement</b>					
Q5: Transparent communication about fiscal realities would increase trust in governance.	45	40	10	4	1
Q6: Mechanisms like town halls and participatory budgeting can enhance citizen engagement.	40	40	12	6	2

Table 1 shows that respondents consistently express strong agreement with the statements across all research objectives, as reflected in the responses, which were categorized as Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. For Objective 1, Q1 reveals that 42% Strongly Agree, 40% Agree, 10% are Neutral, 6% Disagree, and 2% Strongly Disagree, resulting in a combined 82% agreement, which indicates that ethnic and regional sentiments are widely perceived as shaping electoral pledges in Nigeria. Q2 under the same objective records 35% Strongly Agree, 41% Agree, 15% Neutral, 7% Disagree, and 2% Strongly Disagree, amounting to a 76% combined agreement, suggesting that media amplification further encourages candidates to issue exaggerated or unrealistic promises. Under Objective 2, respondents similarly acknowledge the severity of governance constraints. Q3 on fiscal challenges shows 38% Strongly Agree, 41% Agree, 12% Neutral, 7% Disagree, and 2% Strongly Disagree, producing a 79% agreement level, which highlights public recognition that fiscal limitations, such as rising public debt, hinder the fulfillment of electoral commitments. Q4 on administrative inefficiencies presents 33% Strongly Agree, 40% Agree, 17% Neutral, 8% Disagree, and 2% Strongly Disagree, yielding a 73% combined agreement, indicating that bureaucratic delays and systemic inefficiencies are seen as major obstacles to effective governance. Meanwhile, findings for Objective 3 reveal strong public support for strategies aimed at rebuilding trust and improving government-citizen interaction. Q5 records 45% Strongly Agree, 40% Agree, 10% Neutral, 4% Disagree, and 1% Strongly Disagree, totaling a striking 85% agreement, showing that transparent communication about fiscal realities is considered essential for enhancing trust in governance. Likewise, Q6 displays 40% Strongly Agree, 40% Agree, 12% Neutral, 6% Disagree, and 2% Strongly Disagree, producing an 80% combined agreement, which indicates that participatory mechanisms, such as town halls and participatory budgeting, are viewed as effective tools for strengthening civic engagement. Overall, the table highlights a clear consensus: while political, media, fiscal, and administrative factors shape the prevalence and consequences of overpromising, transparency and meaningful citizen involvement are regarded as critical pathways toward improving accountability and governance outcomes in Nigeria.

**Table 2:** Result of Descriptive Statistics (Mean and Standard Deviation)

Research Objective and Questions	Mean	Std. Dev	Key Insight
<b>Objective 1: Factors contributing to overpromising</b>			
Q1. Electoral promises in Nigeria are influenced by ethnic and regional sentiments.	4.14	0.94	High agreement (82% combined) confirms that ethnic and regional sentiments drive campaign pledges, amplifying unrealistic expectations.
Q2. Media amplification encourages candidates to make grandiose promises.	4	0.98	Media's role in exaggerating pledges is widely recognized (76% combined), shaping voter expectations.

<b>Objective 2: Impact of fiscal and administrative constraints</b>					
Q3. Fiscal constraints, such as public debt, prevent the fulfillment of electoral promises.	4.06	0.96	Strong recognition (79% combined) of fiscal barriers highlights public awareness of governance limitations.		
Q5. Administrative inefficiencies (e.g., bureaucracy) hinder governance outcomes.	3.94	0.99	Acknowledgment of structural challenges (73% combined) underscores barriers to policy execution.		
<b>Objective 3: Strategies for government-citizen engagement</b>					
Q6. Transparent communication about fiscal realities would increase trust in governance.	4.24	0.87	Strong support (85% combined) for transparency as a trust-building mechanism.		
Q7. Mechanisms like town halls and participatory budgeting can enhance citizen engagement.	4.1	0.95	High demand (80% combined) for inclusive platforms to foster dialogue and accountability.		

Table 2 reveals significant public awareness of the factors driving overpromising, with Q1 (mean = 4.14, SD = 0.94) indicating strong agreement that ethnic and regional sentiments shape electoral promises, aligning with Agbaje's (1999) findings on identity politics in Nigeria. This slightly higher variability (SD = 0.94) suggests the presence of regional differences in perceptions. Q2 (mean = 4.00, SD = 0.98) confirms media amplification as a key driver of grandiose pledges, consistent with Ojo's (2021) analysis of the media's role in shaping expectations. For the second objective, Q3 (mean = 4.06, SD = 0.96) and Q4 (mean = 3.94, SD = 0.99) highlight public recognition of fiscal and administrative constraints such as Nigeria's US\$100 billion public debt in 2023 (Debt Management Office, 2023), verifying Okonjo-Iweala's (2018) observations. The slightly lower mean for Q4 suggests marginally less consensus on administrative inefficiencies, possibly due to varying exposure to bureaucratic processes. For the third objective, Q5 (mean = 4.24, SD = 0.87) and Q6 (mean = 4.10, SD = 0.95) reflect strong support for transparency and participatory mechanisms, supporting Adebayo's (2020) advocacy for structured engagement to rebuild trust. The lower standard deviation for Q5 indicates more uniform agreement regarding the importance of transparency.

## 4.2. Panel Data Analysis

The enhanced panel dataset is compiled from secondary sources, including fiscal reports from the Central Bank of Nigeria and the Debt Management Office, content analysis of media reports (e.g., The Punch, Vanguard, X posts), voter turnout statistics from INEC, and violence monitoring data from ACLED (2015–2023). The dataset tracks five indices across the 2015, 2019, and 2023 election cycles: (1) the number of electoral promises in APC and PDP manifestos, (2) public debt levels (in USD billions), (3) voter turnout rates (%), (4) the fiscal transparency index (score out of 100, based on Open Budget Survey estimates), and (5) election-related violent incidents (reported event counts).

**Table 3.** Key Indices and Data Sources Tracking Political, Fiscal, and Security Indicators Across Nigeria's 2015–2023 Election Cycles

Year	Electoral Promises (APC/PDP Manifestos)	Public Debt (USD Billions)	Voter Turnout Rate (%)	Fiscal Transparency Index (0–100)	Election-Related Violent Incidents
2015	45 (e.g., infrastructure, job creation)	63.4	43.7	24	800
2019	52 (e.g., poverty reduction, security)	87.0	34.8	21	600
2023	60 (e.g., economic diversification, education)	100.0	26.7	19	1,200

Table 3 reveals a clear upward trend in electoral promises, increasing from 45 in 2015 to 60 in 2023, which reflects heightened rhetorical ambition likely driven by competitive electoral pressures and populist strategies, consistent with predictions from Public Choice Theory (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962). Public debt rose substantially from USD 63.4 billion in 2015 to approximately USD 100 billion in 2023, indicating intensifying fiscal constraints that limit the government's ability to fulfill campaign pledges, aligning with Akpan's (2019) assessment of Nigeria's fiscal challenges (Debt Management Office, 2023). Voter turnout declined sharply from 43.7% in 2015 to 26.7% in 2023, signaling a decrease

in citizen engagement likely linked to distrust stemming from unfulfilled promises and electoral irregularities, as highlighted by Madueke (2025) and Afrobarometer (2023). The fiscal transparency index, derived from the Open Budget Survey estimates, also declined from 24 in 2015 to 19 in 2023, indicating a reduction in openness in budget processes, which further exacerbates public distrust (Open Budget Survey, 2023). Meanwhile, election-related violent incidents increased from 800 in 2015 to 1,200 in 2023, underscoring escalating insecurity as a significant barrier to electoral integrity, as documented by ACLED (2023). Collectively, these trends highlight a persistent disconnect between campaign rhetoric and governance realities, underscoring the need for reforms that align political promises with fiscal capacity and strengthen citizen trust through transparent, accountable, and inclusive governance.

## 5. Discussion

The findings from the questionnaire and panel data provide critical insights into the dynamics of electoral promises, government-citizen engagement, fiscal governance, and political rhetoric in Nigeria's political landscape from 2015 to 2023, aligning with the research objectives. The high agreement (82%) that electoral promises are influenced by ethnic and regional sentiments confirms the pervasive role of identity politics in shaping campaign rhetoric, as noted by Agbaje (1999) and Onuoha (2018). This trend reflects Nigeria's heterogeneous socio-political context, where candidates leverage communal identities to secure votes, often at the expense of policy feasibility. Similarly, the 76% agreement on media amplification underscores the media's role in magnifying grandiose pledges, creating unrealistic voter expectations (Ojo, 2021). This finding aligns with Political Communication Theory, which posits that strategic rhetoric shapes public perceptions but risks eroding trust when promises are unfulfilled (Bitzer, 1968). The data suggest that overpromising is not merely a candidate-driven phenomenon but is exacerbated by media dynamics, necessitating reforms in political communication to foster realism and accountability.

Regarding the impact of fiscal and administrative constraints, the questionnaire results (79% acknowledging fiscal barriers, 73% recognizing administrative inefficiencies) highlight the structural challenges that hinder promise fulfillment, corroborating Okonjo-Iweala's (2018) analysis of Nigeria's fiscal governance issues. The panel data further illustrate this, showing a rise in public debt from \$63.4 billion in 2015 to \$100 billion in 2023, alongside an increase in electoral promises from 45 to 60 over the same period. This discrepancy supports Public Choice Theory, which suggests that politicians prioritize electoral success over fiscal realism (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962). The growing debt burden, coupled with bureaucratic inefficiencies, limits the government's capacity to deliver on pledges, as seen in the unfulfilled infrastructure and economic reforms promised in APC and PDP manifestos (Akpan, 2019). These findings underscore the principal-agent problem, where elected officials (agents) fail to align with citizens' (principals) interests due to information asymmetry and weak accountability mechanisms (Eisenhardt, 1989). Strengthening fiscal governance through transparent budgeting and institutional reforms is critical to bridging this gap.

The strong support for transparency (85%) and participatory mechanisms (80%) in the questionnaire data aligns with Social Contract Theory, which emphasizes the need for a mutual agreement between citizens and the state to sustain legitimacy (Rousseau, 1762; Mansbridge, 2003). The declining voter turnout from 43.7% in 2015 to 26.7% in 2023 reflects growing citizen disillusionment, likely due to unfulfilled promises and electoral irregularities (Madueke, 2025). This trend supports Adebayo's (2020) argument that effective government-citizen engagement, through platforms like town halls and participatory budgeting, can rebuild trust and foster national unity. The findings suggest that aligning electoral promises with fiscal realities and enhancing citizen involvement in governance processes are essential for strengthening the social contract in Nigeria. Comparative insights from global (e.g., Thomson et al., 2017) and African cases (e.g., Lindberg, 2006) suggest that robust institutional frameworks and transparent communication can enhance promise fulfillment and citizen trust, offering valuable lessons for Nigeria's democratic reforms.

## 6. Conclusion

This study offers a comprehensive assessment of electoral promises, government-citizen engagement, fiscal governance, and political rhetoric in Nigeria from 2015 to 2023. The analysis demonstrates that overpromising remains a persistent feature of electoral competition, largely influenced by ethnic and regional sentiments as well as media amplification, both of which contribute to unrealistic expectations among voters. Survey results, with high levels of agreement among respondents, reinforce the view that identity-based appeals and sensationalized messaging significantly influence campaign narratives more than evidence-based policy commitments. The findings further indicate that rising public debt, growing administrative inefficiencies, and limited institutional capacity significantly constrain the government's ability to fulfill campaign pledges. These structural barriers mirror long-standing concerns about the misalignment between political rhetoric and fiscal realities. The steady increase in public debt, combined with bureaucratic bottlenecks, underscores the need for more disciplined governance frameworks that ensure promises are financially and administratively feasible.

At the same time, citizen engagement has weakened over the study period, as reflected in declining voter turnout, lower fiscal transparency scores, and an increase in election-related violence. These trends indicate a decline in trust

in public institutions and a weakening of the social contract between the state and its citizens. Nonetheless, strong public support for transparency initiatives and participatory mechanisms suggests that Nigerians remain open to more inclusive forms of governance capable of rebuilding confidence and fostering national cohesion. Addressing the drivers of overpromising requires a deliberate effort to promote responsible political communication. Candidates should be encouraged to base campaign pledges on realistic policy options rather than emotive appeals rooted in communal identities. Strengthening collaboration between electoral authorities and media institutions can help ensure balanced coverage, reduce sensationalism, and place greater emphasis on the feasibility of political pledges. Enhancing voter education will further empower citizens to critically assess campaign narratives and engage more constructively in the democratic process.

Given the widespread recognition of fiscal and administrative constraints, reforms in public financial management are essential. Transparent budgeting, routine pre-election fiscal audits, and open access to financial data can help align campaign promises with actual fiscal capacity. Strengthening institutional accountability, particularly within anti-corruption bodies and key administrative agencies, will improve policy implementation and reduce the gap between political commitments and tangible outcomes. Experiences from peer countries demonstrate that sustained improvements in budget openness can significantly narrow this promise-performance gap. Ultimately, restoring trust in governance necessitates more profound and sustained government-citizen engagement. Institutionalizing participatory platforms, including town hall consultations and participatory budgeting, can create meaningful avenues for two-way communication. Digital tools such as mobile applications and interactive social media channels offer additional opportunities to enhance transparency and responsiveness. Improvements in electoral integrity, including measures to prevent violence and reinforce independent oversight, remain vital for strengthening public confidence. Together, these reforms can help align electoral rhetoric with governance realities, rebuild trust, and support a more resilient democratic process in Nigeria.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.T. and E.O.L.; methodology, A.T. and E.O.L.; software, E.O.L.; validation, E.O.L.; formal analysis, A.T. and E.O.L.; investigation, A.T. and E.O.L.; resources, A.T.; data curation, E.O.L.; writing—original draft preparation, A.T.; writing—review and editing, A.T. and E.O.L.; visualization, E.O.L.; project administration, A.T.; funding acquisition, A.T. Author has read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank Kwararafa University, Nigeria, for its support of this research and publication. The authors would also like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

Adam, C. S., & O'Connell, S. A. (1999). Aid, taxation, and development: Analytical perspectives on aid effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*, 27(2), 267–282.

Adebayo, A. A. (2020). Citizen engagement and democratic governance in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. *Journal of African Political Studies*, 12(3), 45–62.

Afrocritik. (2023, March 3). *Has the INEC fulfilled all its promises?* Afrocritik. <https://www.afrocritik.com/has-the-inec-fulfilled-all-its-promises/>

Aganga, O. (2023). *Reclaiming the Jewel of Africa: A blueprint for taking Nigeria and Africa from potential to posterity*. Practical Inspiration Publishing

Agbaje, A. (1999). *Political parties and pressure groups in Nigeria*. In O. Oyediran (Ed.), Nigerian government and politics (pp. 123–140). Macmillan.

Akpan, N. (2019). Fiscal policy and economic development in Nigeria. *African Economic Review*, 15(2), 89–104.

Alesina, A., & Perotti, R. (1996). Fiscal discipline and the budget process. *American Economic Review*, 86(2), 401–407.

Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A ladder of citizen participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216–224.

Babbie, E. (2020). *The practice of social research* (15th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Besley, T. (2006). *Principled agents? The political economy of good government*. Oxford University Press.

Bitzer, L. F. (1968). The rhetorical situation. *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 1(1), 1–14.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.

Bratton, M., & Bhoojedhur, S. (2019). *Afrobarometer Round 7: Summary of results*. Afrobarometer.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.

Buchanan, J. M., & Tullock, G. (1962). *The calculus of consent: Logical foundations of constitutional democracy*. University of Michigan Press.

Cheeseman, N., & Klaas, B. (2018). *How to rig an election*. Yale University Press.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Debt Management Office. (2023). *Nigeria's public debt profile: 2023 report*. Debt Management Office.

Downs, A. (1957). *An economic theory of democracy*. Harper & Row.

Edelman, M. (1988). *Constructing the political spectacle*. University of Chicago Press.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 57–74.

Falola, T., & Heaton, M. M. (2008). *A history of Nigeria*. Cambridge University Press.

Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Finlayson, A. (2012). Rhetoric and the political. *Political Studies Review*, 10(3), 320–333.

Fung, A. (2006). Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public Administration Review*, 66(s1), 66–75.

Gaventa, J. (2006). *Triumph, deficit or contestation? Deepening the deepening democracy debate*. Institute of Development Studies.

Global Upfront. (2023, March 2). *Broken promises, breached trust: Has Nigeria been betrayed by INEC?* Global Upfront. <https://globalupfront.com/2023/03/02/broken-promises-breached-trust-has-nigeria-been-betrayed-by-inec/>

Hobbes, T. (1651). *Leviathan*. Penguin Classics.

Independent National Electoral Commission. (2023). *Voter registration and participation statistics: 2023 general elections*. INEC.

John, T. A., & Aderemi, A. K. (2023). The challenges of promoting centripetal forces in Nigeria's sustainable development. *ACU Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(1), 1-12.

John, T. A., & Alabi, A. (2023). The impact of debt profile on Nigeria's development: 2015–2018 in focus. In A. T. Alabi & M. N. Jaffar (Eds.), *Emergent perspective on nation-building for peace in Africa* (pp. 79–92).

Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Kukah, M. H. (2011). *Witness to justice: An insider's account of Nigeria's truth commission*. Bookcraft.

Lakoff, G. (2004). *Don't think of an elephant! Know your values and frame the debate*. Chelsea Green Publishing.

Lambe, E. O. (2024). An assessment of the role of non-kinetic peace measures in the middle belt region of Nigeria. The fourth republic. *Journal of Management and Business Sciences*, 2(1), 1-15.

Lambe, O., & Mubarak, S. (2023). Political conflict and electoral violence in Nigeria: Implications on national security. *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies*, 16(2), 442-452.

Lindberg, S. I. (2006). *Democracy and elections in Africa*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Locke, J. (1689). *Two treatises of government*. Cambridge University Press.

Madueke, K. (2025). Electoral integrity and election management in Nigeria: The case of the 2023 general election. *World Affairs*.

Mansbridge, J. (2003). Rethinking representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4), 515–528.

McCombs, M. E., & Shaw, D. L. (1972). The agenda-setting function of mass media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176–187.

Mueller, D. C. (2003). *Public choice III*. Cambridge University Press.

Nairametrics. (2023, March 3). 2023 elections: What international observers said about the presidential elections. Nairametrics. <https://nairametrics.com/2023/03/03/2023-elections-what-international-observers-said-about-the-presidential-elections/>

Ojebode, A. (2016, July 6). Electoral promises and performance in Nigerian local government. Institute of Development Studies (IDS). <https://www.ids.ac.uk/opinions/electoral-promises-and-performance-in-nigerian-local-government/>

Ojebode, A., Onyishi, I. E., & Aremu, F. A. (2017). Electoral promises and performance in Nigerian local government. Partnership for African Social and Governance Research.

Uche, A. (2014). Analysis of local government performance and leadership in Nigeria. *Africa's Public Service Delivery & Performance Review*, 2(4), 130-150.

Ojo, E. O. (2021). Media and political communication in Nigeria: Challenges of trust and credibility. *African Journalism Studies*, 42(1), 78-94.

Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2018). *Fighting corruption is dangerous: The story behind the headlines*. MIT Press.

Onuoha, F. C. (2018). Electoral promises and the Nigerian voter: A historical perspective. *Journal of Nigerian Politics*, 10(2), 23-39.

Onuoha, F. C., Joachim, C., Okafor, O., & Okoro, C. (2020). Militarization of the 2019 general elections and electoral integrity in Nigeria. *The Round Table: The Commonwealth Journal of International Affairs*, 109(4), 406-418.

Oyewole, L. E. (2023). An assessment of state and crisis of legitimacy in democracy: A focus on the fourth Republic. *Malete Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 4(1), 90-99.

Rousseau, J.-J. (1762). *The social contract*. Penguin Classics.

Salawu, I. O., Salahu, M. O., Lambe, E. O., & Ishola, H. S. (2025). Security Expenditures and Fiscal Strain: The Impact of the Farmer-Herder Conflict on Public Finance in Northern Nigeria (2015-2024). *Bulletin of "CAROL I" National Defence University*, 14(2), 62-81.

Schedler, A. (2010). *The politics of uncertainty: Sustaining and subverting electoral authoritarianism*. Oxford University Press.

Schick, A. (1998). *A contemporary approach to public expenditure management*. World Bank Institute.

Stokes, S. C. (2001). *Mandates and democracy: Neoliberalism by surprise in Latin America*. Cambridge University Press.

Thomson, R., Royed, T., & Naurin, E. (2017). The fulfillment of election pledges: A comparative study on the functioning of modern democracies. *American Political Science Review*, 111(4), 747-764.

Towoju, A. J., & Asiyanbi, K. A. (2025). The Influence of Ethnicity on Electoral Decisions: A Study of Nigeria's 2023 Presidential Election. *NIU Journal of Humanities*, 10(1), 7-15.

Towoju, A. J., Jimoh, E. A., & Asiyanbi, K. A. (2025). Legitimacy Crisis, Accountability, and Local Government Elections in Nigeria: Lessons from KWASIEC 2024 LG Election. *NIU Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(1), 7-14.

Vanguard. (2023, October 5). Social effect of using data to measure politics and policy. Vanguard. <https://www.vanguardngr.com/2023/10/social-effect-of-using-data-to-measure-politics-and-policy/>

Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case study research: Design and methods* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Yusuf, A., & Ayodele, T. (2024). The impact of political materialism on voter apathy in Nigeria: A mixed-methods study. *African Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research*, 7(1), 55-71.