International Journal of Finance, Economics and Business
Vol. 4, No. 2, June 2025, pp.70-77 e-ISSN: 2948-3883

DOI: 10.56225/ijfeb.v4i2.474

Editorial Article

How Financial Inclusion and Fintech are Reshaping Indonesia's Economic Fundamentals and Combating Poverty: A Synergistic Force

Isfenti Sadalia [1,*]

[1] Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia Kota Medan, 20222 Sumatera Utara, Indonesia.
* Correspondence: isfenti@usu.ac.id

Citations: Sadalia, I. (2025). How Financial Inclusion and Fintech are Reshaping Indonesia's Economic Fundamentals and Combating Poverty: A Synergistic Force. *International Journal of Finance, Economics and Business*, *4*(2), 70-77.

Received: 12 May 2025 Revised: 2 June 2025 Accepted: 26 June 2025 Published: 30 June 2025

Abstract: Indonesia stands at a critical juncture where persistent financial exclusion converges with rapid advances in financial technology (fintech). This article examines how fintech-driven financial inclusion constitutes a structural transformation with far-reaching impacts from household resilience to macroeconomic policy effectiveness. Drawing on empirical studies, policy documents, and established theoretical frameworks, the analysis demonstrates that fintech has significantly reshaped Indonesia’s economic architecture by deepening financial intermediation, enhancing monetary and fiscal policy transmission, accelerating economic formalization, and addressing key drivers of poverty. Digital payments, peer-to-peer lending, digital banking, insurtech, and micro-investment platforms have expanded access to savings, credit, insurance, and markets for unbanked households and Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), thereby enhancing productivity, income generation, and shock absorption. At the macro level, the diffusion of digital financial services broadens the tax base, enhances the quality and timeliness of economic data, and strengthens policy responsiveness through more comprehensive information flows. However, these gains are not automatic. Digital divides, consumer protection challenges, data privacy risks, over-indebtedness, and emerging systemic vulnerabilities remain significant concerns as innovation often outpaces regulation. The article argues that realizing fintech’s potential for inclusive and sustainable growth requires coordinated strategies encompassing digital infrastructure expansion, financial and digital literacy, progressive and risk-based regulation, and collaboration among fintech firms, traditional banks, and public institutions. When guided by inclusive and prudent governance, Indonesia’s fintech transformation offers a powerful pathway toward equitable prosperity.

Keywords: Fintech-driven; Financial Inclusion; Poverty Alleviation; MSME Financing; Digital Economy; Monetary Policy Transmission.

1. Introduction

Indonesia, the world's largest archipelagic state and fourth most populous nation, stands at a pivotal moment in its economic development. Characterized by a vast, geographically dispersed population and a historically significant unbanked segment, the nation's traditional economic model has long faced profound structural challenges (Erlando et al., 2020). The friction of distance, infrastructural disparities, and the legacy of a cash-dominant informal economy have perpetuated economic exclusion, limiting growth potential and sustaining high poverty rates. However, the confluence of two powerful, interlinked trends, strategic financial inclusion as a policy imperative and the exponential rise of financial technology (fintech), is fundamentally altering this landscape. This cooperation is not merely a sectoral advancement; it is a transformative force recalibrating macroeconomic fundamentals, restructuring the micro-foundations of the economy, and offering a potent, scalable weapon in the enduring battle against multidimensional poverty (Inoue, 2024; Osuma, 2025; Ozili, 2018).

This article posits that the integration of fintech-driven financial inclusion in Indonesia constitutes a fundamental paradigm shift whose impacts cascade from strengthening household-level resilience to enhancing the effectiveness of national monetary policy. It argues that this transformation operates through several interconnected channels: deepening financial intermediation in ways previously unattainable under conventional banking models; improving the transmission mechanisms of macroeconomic policy by expanding the reach and responsiveness of formal financial systems; accelerating the formalization of economic activity by drawing households and micro-enterprises into regulated digital ecosystems; and directly addressing the structural drivers of poverty through greater economic empowerment and more effective risk mitigation.

Nevertheless, this transformation is neither automatic nor free from risk. Indonesia’s digital financial journey remains constrained by persistent digital divides, emerging systemic vulnerabilities, and increasing regulatory complexity as innovation outpaces institutional adaptation. By drawing on empirical evidence, policy analyses, and relevant theoretical frameworks, this extended analysis critically examines these dynamics to provide a comprehensive assessment of how Indonesia’s digital financial revolution is reshaping its economic trajectory and future development prospects.

2. Historical Context: Financial Exclusion as a Structural Constraint

To appreciate the transformative potential of fintech, one must first understand the depth of financial exclusion that has historically characterized Indonesia. Despite decades of economic growth, access to formal financial services remained out of reach for the majority. Prior to the 2010s, traditional banking infrastructure was overwhelmingly concentrated in urban centers, particularly on Java (Santoso & Meera, 2017). For millions in rural and remote areas, the nearest bank branch could be days away, rendering formal savings, credit, and insurance impractical. The World Bank’s Global Findex Database for 2011 indicated that only about 20% of Indonesian adults had an account at a formal financial institution, lagging behind regional peers (Demirguc-Kunt & Klapper, 2012).

This exclusion trapped a substantial segment of the population in a cash-based and largely informal economy, generating serious and interrelated consequences. In the absence of safe and accessible formal savings instruments, households relied on physical assets or informal savings mechanisms, such as Rotating Savings and Credit Associations (RSAs), which typically provided lower returns while exposing participants to higher financial risks (Hermawan et al., 2022). At the same time, micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), which form the backbone of the Indonesian economy by contributing more than 60 percent of GDP and absorbing approximately 97 percent of the workforce, faced acute credit constraints due to the lack of collateral and documented financial histories required by formal banking institutions. These barriers significantly limited entrepreneurial activity, innovation, and business expansion (Tambunan, 2019). Moreover, financial exclusion heightened household vulnerability to economic shocks. Without access to formal insurance schemes or liquid savings, families were highly exposed to health crises, natural disasters, and agricultural failures, where a single adverse event could compel them to liquidate productive assets or resort to high-interest borrowing from informal lenders (*Rentenir*), thereby reinforcing cycles of indebtedness and poverty (Alnasser Mohammed, 2025; Anisa, 2021; Nuryitmawan, 2023).

The macroeconomic implications were stark. A narrow domestic deposit base constrained the banking sector's ability to extend credit for productive investment. Low levels of financial savings limited the pool of long-term capital for infrastructure and development. Furthermore, a large informal sector resulted in a narrow tax base, limiting fiscal capacity and hampering the effectiveness of monetary policy, as interest rate signals failed to permeate the cash-based economy (Kasmon et al., 2025; Said, 2025; Shofawati, 2023; Wafi & Rosdiana, 2025). Poverty, while declining, remained stubbornly high in certain regions, intertwined with this very lack of economic access and opportunity.

3. Fintech Revolution: Disrupting the Architecture of Access

The advent of fintech has systematically dismantled the traditional barriers to financial access. Fintech leverages digital technology, mobile phones, the internet, big data analytics, and cloud computing to deliver financial services in ways that are cheaper, faster, and more convenient than traditional models (Nugroho et al., 2022). In Indonesia, the fintech revolution has unfolded across several interconnected domains that collectively strengthen financial inclusion and participation in the digital economy. At the foundational level, digital payments and e-money platforms such as GoPay, OVO, Dana, and LinkAja have reached near-ubiquity by leveraging QRIS (Quick Response Code Indonesian Standard), a national interoperable payment system introduced by Bank Indonesia, which has significantly reduced transaction costs and frictions, particularly for micro-payments and served as the primary entry point for millions of users into digital financial services (Bank Indonesia, 2021). Empirical evidence shows that e-wallet adoption has a statistically significant positive effect on financial literacy and inclusion among small traders in traditional markets (Minarni, 2025; Ramli, 2021; Rizwana et al., 2021; Widjaja, 2025).

Building on this payments infrastructure, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending has emerged as one of the most transformative innovations for MSME financing, with platforms such as Amartha, Modalku, and KoinWorks utilizing alternative data, including mobile usage, digital transactions, and online behavior to assess creditworthiness, thereby bypassing conventional collateral requirements and extending credit to previously unbankable segments. Studies confirm that P2P lending contributes positively and significantly to MSME revenue growth and operational efficiency, underscoring its role as a catalyst for real-sector economic activity, particularly in regions such as North Sumatra (Lazuardi & Margareta, 2025; Rizwana et al., 2021; Tambunan, 2019). Alongside this, the rise of digital-only banks and neo-banks, including Bank Jago and SeaBank, often integrated within super-app ecosystems, has further democratized access to banking services by offering low- or zero-balance accounts, competitive savings returns, and user-friendly digital interfaces that appeal especially to younger, tech-savvy, and previously underserved populations.

Finally, fintech expansion has extended into insurtech and digital investment platforms, where start-ups such as PasarPolis, Bibit, and Pluang have simplified access to insurance protection and micro-investment products, enabling households to manage risks more effectively and accumulate savings through small but regular contributions. The regulatory environment has been cautiously facilitative. Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (2018) "Regulatory Sandbox" enables fintech innovators to test their models in a controlled environment, striking a balance between innovation, consumer protection, and systemic stability (Arner et al., 2017; Bromberg et al., 2017; Zetzsche et al., 2017). This proactive stance has been crucial in fostering the sector's growth.

4. Recalibrating Economic Fundamentals: The Macroeconomic Impact

The mass onboarding of individuals and businesses into the digital financial ecosystem is not just a microeconomic phenomenon; it is recalibrating Indonesia's core economic fundamentals.

4.1. Enhancing Monetary Policy Transmission and Financial Stability

A more financially included population significantly improves the effectiveness of Bank Indonesia's monetary policy. In a cash-heavy, under-banked economy, changes in the policy rate have a limited and slow impact on aggregate demand. However, as more transactions and savings become digital and channeled through the formal system, the central bank's leverage increases (Kasmon et al., 2025; Said, 2025; Shofawati, 2023; Wafi & Rosdiana, 2025). When Bank Indonesia raises or lowers interest rates, the effects now ripple more swiftly through a broader segment via digital savings products and P2P lending rates, influencing consumption and investment decisions in the once-informal sector. Furthermore, the digitalization of payments provides the central bank and regulators with richer, higher-frequency data on economic activity. This allows for more precise, data-driven policymaking and earlier detection of macroeconomic imbalances or sectoral stresses (Hasnita & Salomo, 2025). The ability to implement and monitor digital fiscal transfers, as seen during the COVID-19 pandemic with the Program Keluarga Harapan (PKH) and other social assistance programs, also strengthens coordination between monetary and fiscal authorities in crisis management.

4.2. Deepening Financial Intermediation and Capital Allocation Efficiency

Fintech platforms have markedly enhanced the efficiency of financial intermediation, the process of channeling funds from savers to borrowers, by addressing long-standing constraints associated with high information asymmetry and elevated transaction costs in traditional financial systems. Through data-driven credit assessment, fintech firms employ alternative data analytics to reduce the cost and complexity of evaluating small-value, high-volume loans, thereby expanding access to credit for underserved segments (Lazuardi & Margareta, 2025; Rizwana et al., 2021). At the same time, automation and digital scalability enable these platforms to process millions of transactions and loan applications at near-zero marginal cost, a level of operational efficiency that is unattainable for conventional banks, which rely on manual procedures and extensive branch networks. In addition, digital investment and savings applications aggregate micro-savings from vast numbers of users, transforming small individual contributions into substantial pools of capital that can be allocated to capital markets or channeled toward productive economic activities. Collectively, these mechanisms enable a more efficient allocation of financial resources, as funds flow more easily to creditworthy MSMEs with viable business models regardless of geographic location or the absence of formal credit histories, thereby enhancing aggregate productivity and fostering innovation across the economy (Nugroho et al., 2022).

4.3. Catalyzing the Formalization of the Economy

Digital transactions generate immutable and verifiable data trails, fundamentally transforming how economic activity is recorded and recognized. When an MSME adopts digital payment systems for sales and utilizes peer-to-peer (P2P) platforms for supplier payments, it begins to accumulate a measurable digital footprint. Over time, this footprint evolves into a digital financial identity that can be leveraged to access a broader range of financial services and to establish a credible record of formal economic activity (Hermawan et al., 2022). This gradual process facilitates the transition of businesses from the informal to the formal sector, carrying significant implications for economic governance. As firms become formalized, the tax base is broadened, enabling governments to mobilize higher revenues that can be reinvested in public goods and social infrastructure. Simultaneously, the availability of more accurate and granular transaction data improves the quality of economic statistics, strengthening national accounts and informing more effective economic planning. Moreover, digitally enabled and formally recognized MSMEs are better positioned to integrate into regional and global value chains, particularly through participation in cross-border e-commerce and modern supply networks, thereby enhancing their growth prospects and contribution to the broader economy.

5. Direct Assault on Poverty: Microeconomic Mechanisms of Empowerment

The most compelling narrative of fintech-driven inclusion is its direct impact on poverty alleviation and the reduction of vulnerability. It operates through several empowerment channels:

5.1. Enhancing Household Resilience and Shock Absorption

For poor and vulnerable households, financial tools primarily serve as mechanisms for risk management rather than wealth accumulation. Digital platforms have transformed this role in several critical ways. The digitization of government-to-person (G2P) transfers, particularly social assistance (*bansos*), has been especially transformative, as funds can be delivered directly to beneficiaries' e-wallets or bank accounts, significantly reducing administrative costs while minimizing leakage and corruption. During the COVID-19 pandemic, this system proved crucial, with evidence from the SMERU Research Institute (2020) showing that digital transfers enabled faster and more reliable access to assistance for millions of households, effectively serving as an essential social safety net. In parallel, the emergence of micro-insurance through insurtech platforms has expanded access to affordable health, agricultural, and accident insurance via simple mobile-based subscriptions, allowing low-income households to mitigate catastrophic risks without resorting to distress asset sales or high-interest borrowing (Anisa, 2021; Nuryitmawan, 2023). Additionally, the availability of convenient digital savings facilities through e-wallets and basic savings applications has encouraged the habit of setting aside small, regular amounts, thereby helping households build modest financial buffers to cope with emergencies and income shocks.

5.2. Unlocking Entrepreneurial Potential and Income Generation

For the aspiring poor and lower-middle class, access to credit serves as a critical bridge from subsistence activities to sustainable enterprise, and peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms have increasingly fulfilled this role. By providing working capital, fintech credit enables micro-entrepreneurs to expand day-to-day operations, for instance, allowing street food vendors to purchase larger quantities of ingredients or tailors to invest in better equipment outcomes that empirical studies link to higher business capital, faster inventory turnover, and increased monthly profits, particularly among female entrepreneurs (Azizah & Salam, 2024; Wibowo & Rahman, 2024). Beyond short-term liquidity, P2P lending also facilitates the financing of productive assets such as smartphones, motorbikes for ride-hailing services, or refrigeration units for small retail shops, all of which directly enhance income-generating capacity and operational efficiency. Moreover, access to digital credit is closely intertwined with participation in the broader digital economy, as it complements digital payment systems that are a prerequisite for selling on major e-commerce platforms such as Tokopedia and Shopee. This integration opens national and even regional markets to rural artisans and small-scale farmers, effectively dismantling geographic barriers to commerce and supporting more inclusive economic growth.

5.3. Reducing the Cost of Financial Participation

The poor often bear a disproportionate burden in accessing financial services, incurring hidden costs through informal fees, long travel distances to reach banking facilities, or exorbitant interest rates charged by informal lenders. Fintech innovations have significantly reduced these burdens by lowering transaction costs, as digital transfers via e-wallets are far cheaper than traditional remittance services or physically transporting cash. Additionally, the instantaneous nature of digital transactions generates substantial time savings, enabling households to reallocate time toward more productive economic activities or family care. Equally important, fintech platforms typically offer transparent and upfront pricing structures, reducing information asymmetry and uncertainty that often characterize informal financial arrangements, thereby making financial services more affordable, predictable, and accessible for low-income users.

6. The Perils and Challenges: Navigating the Risks of Inclusion

The transformative potential of fintech is immense, but its path is fraught with challenges that demand vigilant, sophisticated, and inclusive policymaking.

6.1. The Persistent Digital Divide

Inclusion through technology risks creating new forms of exclusion. The benefits of fintech are contingent upon digital access (such as smartphone ownership and reliable internet connectivity) and digital literacy (the skills to use these tools safely and effectively). A divide exists along geographic, generational, gender, and socioeconomic lines. Rural areas, older populations, women in conservative communities, and the extremely poor are at risk of being left behind, potentially exacerbating existing inequalities (Demirguc-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Bridging this divide requires co-investment in digital infrastructure (especially in Eastern Indonesia) and nationwide digital literacy campaigns that are culturally sensitive and accessible.

6.2. Consumer Protection and Systemic Risks

The rapid pace of fintech innovation has often outstripped the development of consumer awareness and regulatory capacity, giving rise to several significant risks (Arner et al., 2017). One of the most pressing concerns is over-indebtedness, as the ease of accessing digital loans, combined with aggressive marketing strategies, can encourage borrowers to take multiple loans from different peer-to-peer (P2P) platforms beyond their repayment capacity (Claessens et al., 2018). In response, Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK) has intervened by blacklisting thousands of illegal lending applications and tightening responsible lending regulations (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, 2018). Another major risk relates to data privacy and security, as fintech firms collect and process vast amounts of sensitive personal and financial information (Philippon, 2019). Establishing robust data protection frameworks, such as the Personal Data Protection Law, and implementing effective cybersecurity standards are crucial to prevent misuse, fraud, and data breaches. Additionally, algorithmic bias poses a structural challenge: when credit-scoring models are trained on historically biased data, they risk perpetuating discrimination against certain groups, including women and residents of economically disadvantaged regions (Fuster et al., 2022). Finally, despite their relatively small individual size, fintech firms' growing interconnectedness with the formal banking system as payment intermediaries or funding partners raises concerns about financial stability contagion, since the failure of a major platform or a widespread default cycle in the P2P sector could generate broader systemic risks.

6.3. Regulatory Evolution and the "Sandbox" Challenge

Regulators, such as OJK and Bank Indonesia, walk a tightrope. Overly restrictive regulation stifles innovation: overly permissive regulation exposes consumers and the system to peril. The regulatory sandbox is a valuable tool, but it must be dynamic and capable of evolving pilot projects into durable, scalable regulatory frameworks. Constant dialogue between regulators, innovators, and academia is vital.

6.3. Road Ahead: Sustainable and Inclusive Transformation

To fully harness the potential of fintech for inclusive and sustainable growth, a coordinated, multi-pronged strategy is essential (Arner et al., 2017; Ozili, 2018). Priority should be given to infrastructure development by accelerating the rollout of affordable, high-speed internet connectivity across all regions, building on initiatives such as the Palapa Ring, while also promoting access to affordable smartphones through public–private partnerships. Equally important is strengthening financial and digital literacy by integrating these competencies into national education curricula and expanding community-based training programs that specifically target women, rural populations, and MSMEs (Demirguc-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). On the regulatory front, policymakers should continue refining progressive, risk-based, and activity-focused regulations, with stronger consumer protection mechanisms related to data rights, dispute resolution, and transparent pricing, alongside the promotion of secure open banking APIs to stimulate innovation.

In parallel, targeted incentives are needed to encourage fintech solutions with clear social impact, particularly in agriculture, healthcare financing, and access to green energy for low-income households, supported through dedicated regulatory sandbox initiatives. Deeper collaboration between traditional banks, which possess capital strength and risk management expertise, and fintech firms, which excel in innovation and customer outreach, should also be fostered to develop hybrid service models that better serve diverse market segments (Claessens et al., 2018; Vives, 2019). Finally, sustained investment in independent and longitudinal research is crucial to monitor fintech's long-term effects on poverty reduction, inequality, and economic resilience, providing a robust evidence base to guide adaptive and effective policymaking (Inoue, 2024).

7. Conclusion

The integration of financial inclusion and fintech in Indonesia is more than a technological trend; it is a profound socio-economic recalibration. It is reshaping the nation's economic fundamentals by deepening financial intermediation, enhancing policy efficacy, and pulling informal activity into the formal, taxable economy. Most critically, it is launching a direct assault on the mechanisms of poverty by empowering households with tools for resilience, risk management, and income generation. The journey, however, is not yet complete. The digital divide and emerging risks are stark reminders that technology alone is not a panacea. It must be guided by intentional, inclusive, and prudent policy. The goal must be to build a digital financial ecosystem that is not only innovative and efficient but also equitable, stable, and trustworthy. Indonesia has a unique opportunity to leapfrog traditional developmental hurdles. By fostering innovation while fiercely protecting the vulnerable, and by building bridges of access while strengthening regulatory foundations, the nation can ensure that this powerful synergistic force delivers on its promise: not just economic growth, but broad-based, sustainable, and equitable prosperity for all its citizens. The path from financial exclusion to digital economic citizenship is being charted today, and its successful navigation will define Indonesia's economic trajectory for decades to come.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to extend heartfelt appreciation to the editorial team, Assoc. Professor Dr. Ts. Raden Aswin Rahadi for his valuable, constructive comments and insightful suggestions, which have significantly improved the quality of this editorial manuscript.

References

Alnasser Mohammed, S. A. S. (2025). Financial Technology and Poverty Reduction: The Role of Financial Inclusion in Asian Developing Countries. *Poverty & Public Policy*, *17*(2), e70022.

Anisa, N. (2021). Fintech peer to peer lending as approach to encourage economic inclusion for rural communities in Indonesia. *ADB-IGF Special Working Paper Series "Fintech to Enable Development, Investment, Financial Inclusion, and Sustainability*.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2017). *FinTech and RegTech in a Nutshell, and the Future in a Sandbox*. CFA Institute Research Foundation.

Azizah, S. N., & Salam, A. N. (2024). The Role Of Digital Financial Services In Accelerating Womens Economic Empowerment In Indonesia: What Is Left To The Learn. *Al-Mashrafiyah: Jurnal Ekonomi, Keuangan, Dan Perbankan Syariah*, *8*(2), 15–30.

Bromberg, L., Godwin, A., & Ramsay, I. (2017). Fintech sandboxes: Achieving a balance between regulation and innovation. *Journal of Banking and Finance Law and Practice*, *28*(4), 314–336.

Claessens, S., Frost, J., Turner, G., & Zhu, F. (2018). Fintech credit markets around the world: size, drivers and policy issues. *BIS Quarterly Review September*, 29–49.

Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). *Measuring Financial Inclusion: The Global Findex Database* (Policy Research Working Papers). World Bank, Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6025

Erlando, A., Riyanto, F. D., & Masakazu, S. (2020). Financial inclusion, economic growth, and poverty alleviation: evidence from eastern Indonesia. *Heliyon*, *6*(10), e05235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05235

Fuster, A., Goldsmith-Pinkham, P., Ramadorai, T., & Walther, A. (2022). Predictably unequal? The effects of machine learning on credit markets. *The Journal of Finance*, *77*(1), 5–47.

Hasnita, S. S., & Salomo, R. V. (2025). Policy Learning of One Data Indonesia for Supporting Data-Driven Policy. *Jurnal Administrasi Dan Kebijakan Publik*, *10*(1), 1–25.

Hermawan, A., Gunardi, A., & Sari, L. M. (2022). Intention to use digital finance MSMEs: the impact of financial literacy and financial inclusion. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, *17*(1), 171–182.

Inoue, T. (2024). Digital financial inclusion, international remittances, and poverty reduction. *Journal of Economic Structures*, *13*(1), 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40008-024-00328-z

Kasmon, B., Ibrahim, S. S., Daud, D., Raja Hisham, R. R. I., & Dian Wisika Prajanti, S. (2025). FinTech application in Islamic social finance in Asia region: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, *41*(1), 213–237.

Lazuardi, Y., & Margareta, E. S. (2025). The influence of financial literacy, the use of peer to peer lending, and payment gateways on the financial performance of MSMES in Lamongan Regency. *Indonesia Accounting Research Journal*, *12*(4), 193–202.

Minarni, E. (2025). Impact of Digital Payment Systems on Financial Inclusion and Small Business Growth in Developing Economies. *International Journal of Innovation and Thinking*, *2*(1), 1–12.

Nuryitmawan, T. R. (2023). The Impact of Islamic Fintech on Poverty Alleviation in Indonesia: A Socio-Economic Implications. *Airlangga Journal of Innovation Management*, *4*(2), 136–146.

Osuma, G. (2025). The impact of financial inclusion on poverty reduction and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: A comparative study of digital financial services. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *11*, 101263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101263

Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2018). *Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Nomor 13/POJK.02/2018*. Inovasi Keuangan Digital Di Sektor Jasa Keuangan; Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. https://www.ojk.go.id/id/regulasi/Documents/Pages/Inovasi-Keuangan-Digital-di-Sektor-Jasa-Keuangan/pojk 13-2018.pdf

Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, *18*(4), 329–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003

Philippon, T. (2019). On Fintech and Financial Inclusion. In *NBER Working Paper Series*. National Bureau of Economic Research. https://www.nber.org/papers/w26330

Ramli, F. A. A. (2021). Mobile payment and e-wallet adoption in emerging economies: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Emerging Economies and Islamic Research*, *9*(2), 1–39.

Rizwana, M., Singh, P., & Raveendra, P. V. (2021). Promoting financial inclusion through digital wallets: An empirical study with street vendors. In *Financial inclusion in emerging markets: A road map for sustainable growth* (pp. 281–293). Springer.

Said, M. (2025). Optimizing Sharia Fintech for Financial Inclusion in Indonesia in the Digital Era: An Islamic Economic Perspective. *Balanca : Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Islam*, *7*(1), 47–62. https://doi.org/10.35905/balanca.v7i1.13214

Santoso, B., & Meera, A. K. M. (2017). Strategy of financial inclusion development in Indonesia. *Share: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Keuangan Islam*, *6*(1), 53–84.

Shofawati, A. (2023). The role of Islamic Fintech in Indonesia to improve financial inclusion for resolving SDGs. In *Artificial Intelligence, Fintech, and Financial Inclusion* (pp. 112–134). CRC Press.

Tambunan, T. (2019). Recent evidence of the development of micro, small and medium enterprises in Indonesia. *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, *9*(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-018-0140-4

Vives, X. (2019). Digital disruption in banking. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, *11*(1), 243–272.

Wafi, I., & Rosdiana, R. (2025). The Impact Of Islamic Banking On Financial Inclusion In Developing Countries: A Systematic Literature Review. *AL-ARBAH: Journal of Islamic Finance and Banking*, *7*(1), 1–26.

Wibowo, M., & Rahman, S. U. (2024). Financial Literacy and Technological Innovation: Women's Micro-Businesses Adaptation in the Digital Ecosystem. *International Conference on Economics, Business and Interdisciplinary Studies ICEBIS 2023*.

Widjaja, G. (2025). Comparative study of e-wallet adoption in developed and developing countries: implications for financial inclusion. *International Journal of Economic Literature*, *3*(5), 564–572.

Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Barberis, J. N., & Arner, D. W. (2017). Regulating a revolution: from regulatory sandboxes to smart regulation. *Fordham J. Corp. & Fin. L.*, *23*, 31.