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Abstract: Routing systems mechanisms have piqued researchers' interest in heuristic components for routing problems that could alter problem complexity. As a result, myriad routing strategies were proposed that minimize deployment costs while maximizing traversal coverage. Several constraints were considered, including deployment times, load capacities, and projected coverage. Research into routing systems has focused on heuristics to optimize complex routing problems. Multiple strategies have been proposed to optimize deployment costs and maximize route coverage, focusing on deployment times, load capacities, and coverage. This literature study examines data interpolation for cost optimization features coupled with relative scheduling systems, with the primary purpose of supporting heterogeneity subjugation towards cost interoperability based on varied goals and objective functions. A total of 250 papers were analyzed for relevance regarding routing scheduling from relevant academic-based user-accessed scientific journal databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Hindawi, ACM, and Google Scholar to perform a concise analysis of the relative cost interoperability measures in routing strategies, including single objective purposes undertakings. The research evaluated the application, niche problem-solving methodologies, and viability for future refinement or integration with comparable solutions. This qualitative study aims to present an information synthesis based on the PRISMA (Systematic Literature Review) framework on various recognized developments and trends for routing heuristic research works that will serve as a benchmark for refining improvisation on current solution strategies. Ultimately, this study presents a comprehensive review of the applicable field, an analysis of existing problem-solving strategies, and a comprehensive overview of the possibilities for incorporating them into further research.
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Programming models derived from routing variables are designed to simulate the most palatable compromise between concurrently maximizing profits and minimizing operating costs (Christopher et al., 2021; Nucamendi-Guillén et al., 2021). By developing a more effective system of cooperative resource sharing, cost control could be enhanced through cumulative cost reductions and fair profit distribution. This study provides a review on the fundamental advantages of incorporating cost-saving strategies for improved route network segregation and demand fulfillment, emphasizing on the properties of cost optimization measures used in routing modeling for the vehicle routing problem (VRP) and other relevant distribution network logistic strategies. The discussed computational complexity solution strategy on cost interoperability involved researchers’ efforts to simulate solution steps for better rectifying multi-objective problems (MOP) encountered in collective myriad distribution systems, which are primarily based on automation and sentient decision-making concepts (Morsidi & Panessai, 2023; Tao et al., 2022).

As the computational intelligence field was widely regarded in these processes, this systematic literature review carries out a comprehensive association between the existing routing strategies in creating an effective scheduling system while adhering to routing constraints to promote cost reduction capabilities and maximize productivity under the incorporation of intelligent decision-making approaches using artificial intelligent automation strategies. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, the materials and methods for the suggested systematic literature review was discussed, including topic relevance sorting and eligibility criteria, to disseminate information on cost optimization measures applied to routing system task distribution architectures; Section 3 elaborates the critical findings from the relative studies on the currently existing issues for vehicle routing systems and strategic disposition on measures done to alleviate the cost optimization problems; Section 4 contains critical analytical results and discussion on the proposed fundamental research question; whereas Section 5 summarizes the paper.

2. Materials and Methods

This study follows the example in a related work (Nura & Shamsu Abdullahi, 2022), adhering to the information disposition concept for a systematic literature review based on Kitchenham's conceptual framework and supporting PRISMA guideline attribute for correlating information interchange (see Figure 1). Several research questions (RQ) have been categorized to represent the primary discourse topic for the illustrative problem instances as a result of information synthesis to provide an interdependence association between studies done for routing heuristics and cost-saving measures based on unique scheduling constraints and real-life applications.

2.1. Research Flow

2.1.1. Research Objectives

Several observed recent themed systematic reviews on cost optimization for multi-purpose routing systems had provided constructive dissertations on the chronological implementation and iterative growth strategy on myriads of native routing implementations, together with their proficiency in resolving distinctive issues. The purpose of this research investigation was to identify critical traits in multi-objective routing dispatch for continuous optimization problems and to determine their beneficial effects on alleviating those primary routing issues. The initial phase of the conceptual framework applied in this paper is to further ascertain categories of routing instances. This is done by analyzing the relative researcher's methodology on influencing scheduling constraints, and productive accomplishments on the improvised routing measures on cost optimality, as well as improving scheduling system performance by amending operational costs via routing variable tuning.

1. Main Routing Constraint related to Cost Interoperability
2. Motive for Promoting Cost Optimality for the Scheduling System in Improving and Minimizing Conditional Trade-Offs between the Routing Variables
3. Challenges of Implementing Better Cost Interoperability in Fulfilling Routing Constraint Diversity and Objectivity
4. Projected Future Trends for Improving Cost Interoperability in Routing Heuristics
Figure 1. Flow diagram of the systematic literature review analysis (PRISMA)
2.1.2. Eligibility Criteria

Despite the obvious similarities between conventional investigations on routing system architecture and most fundamental routing automation instances, this paper supports the inclusion of routing optimization topics like solution complexity, cost optimization, parameter selection, and scheduling automation. These earlier studies were categorized and sorted according to topic viability, and their interjection points were determined by multilateral vehicle routing heuristics, automated scheduling systems, and routing strategies. The paper accumulated the most significant adaptation of operational cost optimization strategy in industrialization in the final topic classification criteria in order to highlight the noteworthy contribution of the feature to the improvement of the entire scheduling system, particularly the viability of imposed variations on resolving in-depth issues (see Table 1).

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for correlation and topic interjections for routing systems optimization measures relating to cost interoperability and modeling complexity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Subject Topic</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Type of constraints (load, time windows, distance)</td>
<td>Routing examples for common routing issues that incorporate multi-objective problems with improved objective functions, modeling schematics, and cost-saving features.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Practicality &amp; applicability with current trending issues</td>
<td>The adaptability of the new solution strategy in comparison to the pioneer works, along with their strong flexibility traits in terms of enhancing the performance of the targeted existing routing framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Future outlook</td>
<td>Refinement of proposed cost interoperability features with potential optimization heuristic for solving current distinctive routing issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Formulation of routing optimization measures in terms of resolving cost constraints</td>
<td>Research papers that include basic scheduling strategies but lacked a basic theory of the routing optimization concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Practicality &amp; applicability with current trending issues</td>
<td>Research works not subjective to multi-objective optimization which showed regression in solution strategy for accommodating fundamental traits of cost optimality from 3 main routing constraints: load capacity, vehicle consignments, or simultaneous depot routing component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Future outlook</td>
<td>Academic report lacking in content related to the relevance of addressing combinatorial optimization routing model in regard to problem scaling schematics for the formulation of cost optimality improvement and adaptability of dynamic routing component integrations in resolving specific routing issues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows several characteristics that warranted further examination and correlation when exclusion criteria were used in data extraction on accumulated cost interoperability journal topics for routing systems.
2.1.3. Information Repository

Numerous open-access scientific journal databases, including Hindawi, ACM, Science Direct, IEEE Explore, and Web of Science, were used to compile the research papers used in this paper. Before writing the main paper, proprietary survey work had also skimmed through Google Scholar article collections as a source for the initial drafting. The accumulated routing topic articles from these journal repositories offer a broad overview of baseline efforts made to address the current problems, as well as an assessment of their applications in realistic scenarios in terms of routing automation and problem complexity. The 5 journal repositories were examined for publications relevant to cost optimization routing models and any improvement characteristics in scheduling solutions, be it for scheduling, automation, and advanced decision-making nomenclatures, to provide a detailed analysis of the current research trends and to formulate the appropriate RQs.

Table 2. List of included journal repository for article collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal Repository</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEEE Xplore</td>
<td><a href="https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/">https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/</a></td>
<td>Partial access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td><a href="https://mjl.clarivate.com/search-results">https://mjl.clarivate.com/search-results</a></td>
<td>Open/Partial access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScienceDirect</td>
<td><a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/">https://www.sciencedirect.com/</a></td>
<td>Open access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACM</td>
<td><a href="https://dl.acm.org/">https://dl.acm.org/</a></td>
<td>Partial access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindawi</td>
<td><a href="https://www.hindawi.com/journals">https://www.hindawi.com/journals</a></td>
<td>Open access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 summarizes the results of the chosen journal repositories for the collection of research works related to routing heuristics, with a focus on current research trends in routing optimization. The selection of these information sources is based on their current relevance in routing heuristic research and their correlation branch with other associative studies connected to automation and computational intelligence.

2.2. Overview of the Cost Optimization Problem in Conventional Routing Systems

Discussions and exploration of cost optimization problems for routing systems often correlate the issues of objectivity in normalizing the value of routing variables in attenuating the relevant settings for the formulation of a specific routing issue, the widely observed routing constraints include total coverage distance, practical deployment capacity, and time allotment in successful distribution trip iterations. Traditional single-depot versus multi-depot goals are determined by task distribution priorities, with servicing gradually convergent on either a linear or congruent path, respectively, with varying degrees of routing complexity (Braaten, Gjønnes, Hvattum, & Tirado, 2017; Žunić, Đonko, & Buza, 2020). Priorities are then established using actual and ideal traveling distances, the number of depots and vehicles used, and the maximum permissible vehicle capacity per depot. Combination of several distinctive parameter annotations when devising suitable modeling values when attempting to plan a cost-efficient scheduling system evolves the conventional single-objective normalcy routing instance to multi-fold objective instances, therefore any solution strategies required the further formulation of NP-hard multi-objective functions to provide computationally effective solution quality (Boumpa et al., 2022; Gharib, Bozorgi-Amiri, Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, & Najafi, 2018).

This trait is among the motivations for any cost interoperability-related routing system implementations, where routing and scheduling phases would incorporate objective functions strategized toward reducing total cost expenditure, minimizing vehicle fleet quantity while maintaining the number of successful deployments, together with managing achievable distance coverage upon single round trips under certain resource limitation. The desire to address trade-offs between minimizing resource allocation and maximizing deployment coverage, while carefully adhering to routing constraints like time windows and capacity limitations, is one of the typical benefits of encouraging heterogeneous solution output for scheduling systems. To promote the most heterogeneous solution strategy for better segregation of routing optimizations, multi-objective combinatorial optimization approaches were combined with various clustering and heuristic algorithms for better dissemination of critical distribution node conjectures and associations of selective deployment routes for minimizing unnecessary cost wastage. To encourage a low-cost method of obtaining propagation routes, path optimization techniques can be developed (Morsidi, 2022, 2023; Zhan, Wang, Wong, & Lo, 2022).

The issue of obtaining the best pathfinding strategy could be mitigated by reducing unnecessary asset expenditures during a single trip via selection of the shortest path, in addition to lowering transportation
costs (Morsidi, 2022; Rachmawati & Gustin, 2020). Another popular trend for cost interoperability is the inclusion of metaheuristic algorithms for higher dimensional imploration of collective solution quality from an assortment of approximated simulation results. Metaheuristic algorithms and comparisons among them can be used to examine the distribution of goods over time via divergent vehicle involvement (Gharib et al., 2018). Most metaheuristic algorithms are population-based and created as straightforward stochastic searches (Stodola, 2018). These characteristics make them desirable for numerical optimization because they are simple but efficient. To support the effort to diversify search management of population variety about population-based transformative foraging, metaheuristics that benefit from exploration amplitude have been proposed (Akararungruangkul, Chokanat, Pitakaso, Supakdee, & Sethanan, 2018).

This metaheuristic approach had been successfully incorporated with recent routing works related to multi-commodity, multi-vehicle, and applied time constant. Another motivation to incorporate metaheuristic approach in devising best routing solution quality is to identify the solutions that best strike a balance between the various goals and functions (Molina, Eguia, Racero, & Guerrero, 2014). The following key points review the observed main emphasis for routing studies attempting to corroborate the fundamentals of effective scheduling strategy with efforts to maximize cost interoperability during the planning and execution of deployment approaches.

- **Scheduling Instance Criteria:** Devising the appropriate routing variable incorporation of the scheduling model involves segregating various scheduling instances involving traveling distance, transportation capability, flexibility, and maximal permissible optimized load capacity upon single round trip deployment, together with critical consideration on the deployment velocity across all participating depots and customers in retrospect with demand level and how effective the routing strategy could replenish all the requirements across partaking sectors.

- **Multi-Objective Logistics Planning:** The nature of distribution patterns help contribute to the efficiency and success of certain round trips, where which is crucial for establishing a proactive scheduling system nomenclature that could forecast future undertakings and improvise further on the aspect of cost interoperability and optimization. Under the pretense of improving distribution efforts and efficiency, multi-objective models were improvised to augment single-purpose logistic models in terms of workability and homogeneity simultaneously.

- **Location-Allocation:** Deciding the appropriate assignation of logistic prepositioning and means of their dispatch provides decision makers with oversight on how each deployment trip could be utilized and further optimized even in the case of unpredictability such as infrastructure damage or natural disasters. Location-allocation aspect for routing instances determines the way that resource estimates could be extemporaneously implemented with the scheduling strategy itself, along with providing integrity for any subsequent deployment itself.

- **Traversal Route:** The channels through which the distribution of work is carried out. Routing instances occasionally follow the maximize coverage-minimize resource formula, where integrations of strategic depots and pick-up points would enhance the deployment rate frequency. Approximating the maximal distance that could be covered under a single deployment could assist in scheduling instances in terms of assigning flexible load capacities, catering to customer priorities, and minimizing transportation costs. Decision planners can adapt coverage area limitations accordingly to maximize deployment rate or fulfilling certain scheduling instance requirements. Determining the critical path will ultimately involve adding a planning model that overcomes the constraints of certain problems.

- **Task Distribution Approaches:** The main constituent of a routing system, task distribution approaches play a role in deciding how proficient a particular routing instance would take place and how it affects the distribution pattern catering towards the entire distribution network demography. Various considerations such as service type, capability in which a task could be executed, overhead costs toward the entire distribution schematics, together with their necessity for certain population diaspora.
Figure 2. Factors of uncertainty affecting the planning of an effective logistics scheduling fulfilling multi-objective deployment criteria.

2.3. Solution Methods

The routing solution strategy geared towards cost interoperability revolves around balancing the routing constraint trade-off per the objective functions tailored to inquire about the most accurate solutions while considering practicality and universality with other routing instances. Heuristic and metaheuristic techniques were created as a scaffold to simultaneously determine the ideal routing variables for annotating the best routing scenario and deployment strategy. The development of a cost-friendly routing model was primarily focused on quality-quantity trade-offs about the scaling of the intended routing output, stretching about the maximum number of permitted participating vehicles, optimal load capacity to be successfully dispersed simultaneously across all participating sectors, critical route coverage among pickup points and distribution depots, as well as other routing constraints like projected traffic volumes. Studies on multi-objective routing models saw the incorporation of route prediction algorithms utilizing historical data decompositions based on distance or time parameters for better route segmentation purposes (Zhao, Guo, & Duan, 2017). Data sampling is utilized to store representative traces of the distribution nodes to promote data reduction.

To produce better simulation results for the estimation of overall operational costs, future route trips are replicated using a probabilistic route prediction model (Pinto, Quadros, Rathod, & Mittal, 2020). The estimated route and cost calculation is estimated via group clustering of neighboring distribution nodes based on distance parameters. Heuristic functions are sought to provide minimum distance gauging to reduce solution iterations. Better cost interoperability is exhibited in terms of deployment strategies that accrue the least cost allocation while still operating maximally in terms of distribution frequency, distance coverage, and number of fulfilled demands (Markou, Koulinas, & Vavatsikos, 2017; Ouhader & El kyal, 2017a). Scheduling models which attempt to incorporate cost interoperability on relevant routing parameters address certain objective functions to formulate heterogeneous solution steps for simulated routing strategy, maximizing traveled distance while incurring the least operational cost possible, maximizing cost optimality while operating under the pretext of best deployment period and maximization of demand fulfillment, and inquiring the best adaptive and dynamic routing variables for devising a better scheduling strategy (Giovanni, Gastaldon, Losego, & Sottovia, 2018; Kunnapapdeelert & Thawnern, 2021; Yao Zhang, Liu, Li, Liu, & Zhou, 2022).
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Main Routing Constraint related to Cost Interoperability

From the accumulated research articles related to cost optimization, the visible observable trend for the past 10 years (2014-2023) is the studies on constraints focusing on load capacity and demand fulfillment, where time windows also become a major emphasis in constructing relevant routing models for formulating competitive and best scheduling strategies.

Table 3. Final number of accessed articles related with multi-objective routing problems, involving routing optimization and operational cost minimizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Constraint Type</th>
<th>Cumulative Articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Path Minimization/Shortest Path</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Load Capacity</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Time Windows</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Industrialization</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Carbon Emission</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Demand Level</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cost of operation</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 displays the results of collecting relevant research subjects from the selected key journal repositories for studies linked to multi-objective routing problems, primarily routing optimization and operational cost minimizations. Conjecture on routing issues is limited by time and resource allocation as a basis for the fundamental formulations of routing models. This formulation is flexible with scheduling ambiguity and exudes consistency in performance. These routing constraints seek to produce the most effective overall optimal solutions in the solution space by carefully arbitrating the autonomous values of the parameters affecting individual model behavior and incorporating decision-based optimization solution policies. Provided that the implementation of cost interoperability optimization on routing constraints focuses on promoting solution quality that exhibits maximal allocation versus minimal resource wastage, the observed research trend populates the motivation for combining the minimization of routing variable values with maximizing the reactivity of the scheduling model design. Among the popular routing variables included in this practice include deployment frequency and load capacity. Similar conjectures highlighted by observed related work over recent years had been drawn to the multi-objective functionality trade-off in terms of imposing the applicable minimal resource constraints on maximizing the productivity of distribution efforts along the premeditated route network all while fulfilling maximal customer demands and minimizing the least resource wastage as possible.

In modeling practical routing strategies for catering to multi-purpose objectives whilst maintaining the credentials of encouraging minimal resource wastage and simultaneous strategic cost optimality, demand-oriented objective functions are attempted to be applied to achieve better distribution segregation for participating sectors following depot accessibility and customer accessibility (Shi, Lv, Hu, & Han, 2020). Uncertainties surrounding beneficiary demand must be accounted for when modeling variables for a productive scheduling system, which is undoubtedly difficult. There are no comprehensive optimization models for logistics under time or resource constraints due to computational inefficiencies. However, there are still ongoing research projects to address routing variables with ambiguous information via a more automated reformation. Careful dissemination planning on the capacity of simultaneous commodity deployment for participating sectors according to the level of demand from various customers and the placement of commodities within the transportation network itself had been successfully integrated into resolving location-allocation issues linked with tight constraints (Jayarathna, Lanel, & Juman, 2022).

Scheduling models with embedded multi-objective goals attempted to link parallel interactions between imposing flexible load capacity values and demand-centric motivations, similar to how demand intrinsic requirements for multi-dispatch purposes must be satisfied (Jayarathna, Lanel, & Juman, 2021; Morsidi, Wang, Budiman, & Ng, 2023). Because cargo capacity has a significant impact on the effectiveness of
cargo delivery, any goal of promoting routing optimality takes into account an increase in freight volume to account for the impact it will have on round trips over time (Li, Xiong, & Xie, 2021). Loads can be distributed dynamically or in advance, depending on the size of the distribution and the level of priority. This requirement may be necessary depending on the size of the problem. Even though some heuristic algorithms explicitly set distance and capacity constraints (Morsidi, 2022), solutions for routing construction problems primarily concentrate on capacity constraints. Using modeling assumptions like node movement, traditional routing-based combinatorial optimization concentrates on the interaction between customer demand and warehouse distance. Some routing constructs, like initialization, selection, and insertion criteria, are brought to light by heuristic route construction techniques.

One of the useful routing variables that help to route secure successful approximation in cost savings features is aggregated time windows among all executable distribution sectors. Precise allocation on permissible elapsing deployment period enables strategic deposition of the desired commodity across the distribution nodes as well as improved estimation of the distribution scope, deployable range, and commodity. The inclusion of strategic routing modeling warranted with ever-adapting and flexible time windows feature implementation when devising a systemic scheduling system plays a pivotal role in both simulation and actual round trip time (Luo, Wang, Tang, Guan, & Xu, 2021; Wahyuningsih & Satyananda, 2020). The execution time required to produce good solutions when simulating the solution steps for very large cases using the routing formulation is different from the constrained response time permitted during realistic implementation. It is challenging to measure task distribution in routing implementations involving time-series distribution networks due to the parallel and unilateral nature of task distributions (Nura et al., 2022). The ability to better adapt and improve response and service capabilities will help in the development of service scheduling and resource allocation strategies, so it is crucial to comprehend how response time in actual execution affects the rate of deployment (Chen et al., 2023).

Research works over the years had dubbed the notorious challenge to evaluate task distribution credibility in routing implementations involving time-series distribution networks, such as supply chain and logistics scheduling for disaster relief (D’Uffizi, Simonetti, Stecca, & Confessore, 2015; Gharib et al., 2018). It is crucial to increase the efficiency of the route while minimizing travel time and costs overall (Zhan et al., 2022). Time windows are thought to be a more useful and practical way to predict a distribution network’s success in real-world commercial applications. Because a quick response time is necessary even for large amounts of exponential data, metaheuristic approaches are typically preferred as a better solution strategy for problems with increased complexity. It is necessary to optimize each target function for real-time planning (Xu, Pu, Duan, & Hendy, 2018). Not all ranges of objective functions can profit from compatibility improvements. This leads to irregularities and adaptability to different scale, assortment, and time resolution characteristics. Rapid response logistics operations must gather precise, real-time data for further processing to update constantly changing demands and prioritize distribution (Nura et al., 2022). Only by including precise modeling variables could the trade-off between cost optimality and simultaneous deployment of multiple vehicles be minimized in line with the original objective function. Manageable operational data should be included to maximize predictability and applicability in circumstances with constrained resource participation, such as time and resource availability.

The ongoing process of improving the current routing heuristic approaches aims to promote the closest proximity between depots and customers to impose interoperability at lower costs (Morsidi, 2022; Zhao et al., 2017). To maximize coverage while lowering costs, every deployment route should make an effort to cover as little ground as possible. Distance estimation metrics that focus on determining the sequential distance between intertwined nodes are not always accurate. In terms of distribution speed relative to the traveling distance, it is advisable to focus on rapid deployment as possible because the delivery of goods along predetermined routes could reduce resource waste, optimizes cost utilization, and reduces errors in the distribution of tasks (Chen et al., 2023; Ochelska-Mierzejewska, Poniszewska-Marafa, & Marafa, 2021; Ouhader & El kyal, 2017a; Sularno, Mulya, Astri, & Mulya, 2021). The distribution of goods among available logistics warehouses and customer demand is strongly correlated in previous studies as among the main contributors toward cost optimality (Fitriani, Pratama, Zahro, Utomo, & Martini, 2021; Kasanah, Qishani, & Munang, 2022).

The two main decision-making categories for cost reduction capabilities are long-term pre-allocation choices, which consider demographics and resource availability (Luo et al., 2021), and short-term pre-allocation and stock ordering choices, which take a demand-based distribution from impacted sectors into account (Tunnisaki & Sutarman, 2023). Modeling the aspiration criteria concerning cost minimization for scheduling operations can help to improve cost interoperability. Methods to minimize operational cost such as customer clustering can be applied either optimally or heuristically, and studies on route
optimization examine how effectively scheduling the delivery of goods can lower the amount of unmet resource demand and cut down on delivery times by selecting the shortest route (Kocaoglu, Cakmak, Kocaoglu, & Taskin Gumus, 2020; Kunnapapdeelert & Thawnern, 2021).

3.2. Motive for Promoting Cost Optimality for the Scheduling System in Improving and Minimizing Conditional Trade-Offs between the Routing Variables

Traditional approaches to vehicle routing optimization, such as trial-and-error, intuition, and experience, are ineffective and cannot guarantee an ideal result. However, effective computational intelligence algorithms can reduce deviations from the perceived optimal solution thus leading to better cost allocation strategies. Routing solutions involving heuristics are preferred to interpolate exponential routing data due to the combinatorial optimization nature for scheduling instances normally inclusive of fulfilling certain objective function requirements however are less favorable due to the ambiguous nature of the scheduling variables. Fundamental routing approaches have been objectified toward cost optimality. Measurement of task distribution in routing implementations involving time-series distribution networks is challenging due to the parallel and unilateral nature of task distributions (Lei, Lee, & Dong, 2016; Zhan et al., 2022; Ying Zhang, Qi, Miao, & Wu, 2015). In the design of distribution networks for auxiliary chains, uncertainty is particularly complex and involves additional costs. When integrating uncertainty into the design of a planning system, it is important to balance cost with trade-offs, as compatibility enhancements are not universally applicable to all ranges of objective functions (Morsidi, 2022).

The benefits of more added dynamism for the transportation network architecture have come to light in discussions about improving cost approximation for routing purposes. Dynamism is one of the key elements of the path optimization approach (Xu et al., 2018), which has recently started to gain popularity. The flexible decision-making capabilities of scheduling models include a range of adaptive features as a scaffolding to support current implementation methods. Effective routing problems are characterized by brief execution phases, heterogeneous distributed environments, and the search for the best path to a specific location (Nucamendi-Guillén et al., 2021). The goal of mediating alternative solutions was to find the best and most efficient solutions in the search space for routing problems, which are categorized as combinatorial optimization problems. Dynamic tuning of scheduling issues is believed to be more effective at producing initial schedules of high quality at a fast runtime (Zeddini & Zargayouna, 2018). Dynamic features that are incorporated into routing constructs include multi-criteria evolutionary algorithms for scheduling systems, freight delivery, and multi-criteria node spinalization problems (Zak & Galińska, 2018; Zeddini & Zargayouna, 2018). These state-of-the-art methods support heuristic search techniques for vehicle routing issues with lateral characteristics that need to be solved in both static and dynamic environments.

Another favoured method for modeling scheduling in the context of prospective task allocation is the ensemble method, which has been combined with dynamism. Ensemble characteristics have been discussed in various routing heuristic research contexts, including the Traveling Salesman Problem, identifying flood vulnerability, and optimizing gas production facilities (Alem, Clark, & Moreno, 2016; Arena et al., 2018; Ezugwu & Adewumi, 2017; Nama & Saha, 2018). Route heuristics have been interpolated with relevant optimization techniques to maximize cost reduction via more automated, cost-saving feature selections. For example, using population-based heuristics such as genetic algorithms is capable to minimize transportation costs such as travel distance, late arrival fines, and early arrival delays (Han & Wang, 2020; Morsidi, 2022; Ochelska-Mierzejewska et al., 2021). Furthermore, new dynamic mechanisms are enhancing the relationship between time-varying demand and methodical logistics operation planning. To choose the best routes between the pickup points and subsequent agents up to the vehicle's capacity point, dynamics which is thought to be feasible are used (Alweshah et al., 2022; Fitriani et al., 2021). Furthermore, imparting customer clustering methods in population-intensive routing strategies for urban networks such as crowd transfer displays dynamic adjustments and is relatively compatible with time-series routing constraints such as VRP with time windows according to the task distribution aspect. As a result, it can be used as the foundation for determining penalty costs and task completion for better formulation of appropriate cost optimality approaches to be undertaken (Gdowska, Viana, & Pedroso, 2018; Yu et al., 2022).
3.3. Challenges of Implementing Better Cost Interoperability in Fulfilling Routing Constraint Diversity and Objectivity

Scheduling features are embedded into assorted routing implementations based on constraint values and objective functions. The target solution strategies typically seek to minimize costs while maximizing operating efficiency during a routine trip. To improve efficiency and speed of deployment, research works proposed the combination of single and multiple vehicle instances. In some cases, the inclusion of one or more vehicles may not be suitable for the problem, depending on demand levels and cost availability. Therefore, the inclusion of strategic path traversal approaches needs to take into consideration several key delimiters for successful integration, among these include dimensionality of the purpose model adhering to the routing variable exclusivity, location-allocation improvisation under certain routing model's aspiration criteria and nature of optimization heuristics in terms of exploration versus exploitation (Jayarathna et al., 2022; Ouhader & El kyal, 2017b; Saeidian, Mesgari, Pradhan, & Ghodousi, 2018).

It is difficult to say that efficient optimization algorithms are universally applicable to all combinatorial optimization issues. Combining strategies, such as sets of various optimization algorithms, can help minimize the reliance on a single optimization algorithm to solve a variety of challenging optimization problems, but it can be challenging to find variations of optimization heuristics that are efficient for various types of optimization problems. Deterministic single-solution approaches, as opposed to collective or stochastic approaches, are used in optimization techniques to take on challenging large-scale continuous optimization problems. When multiple round trips occur at once, beginning and ending at a default initiation location, as is the case for multi-depot routing instances, the classic unilateral vehicle routing single-goal problem is preferable to be diversified (Morsidi et al., 2023). However, depending on the demand levels and available budget options, there are some situations in which adding one or more vehicles may not be the best solution (Nura & Shamsu Abdullahi, 2022).

There is undeniably a challenge explored by various routing types of research on solving the objective function necessitates the allocation of many resources (development, computing resource, and management), and trade-offs result, to arrive at an optimal solution that satisfies the demands of all demand points with the least amount of delay and cost allocation (Chen et al., 2023; Nura et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2020). Though there are some restrictions, the emphasis is on identifying and producing ideal solutions. When sentient automation techniques are used, the ability of the solution to converge optimally is significantly impacted by the highly correlated variables in the scheduling model because influence from external factors like resource constraints and uncertainty affect the simulation performance's final results. Vehicle capacity, route design, freight distribution, and personnel distribution in the impacted areas are all important variable parameters for the routing instances to be able to be scheduled effectively. Inconsistent and subpar service at the point of demand can result from facility allocation's frequent dealings with limited facility resources, high demand, and high pressure (Nura et al., 2022; Wang, Jiang, Ma, & Shen, 2022). The decision of how to distribute and transfer resources according to demand necessity is one of the most crucial steps in planning and automating the multi-objective routing process (Shi et al., 2020; Stodola, 2018).

Individual or weighted targets frequently have weighted constraints in real-world scenarios, which prevent precise routing decisions. However, when there are few vehicles available, providing assistance from supply points to demand points inevitably wastes a lot of time and resources due to extra utilized deployment resources (Braaten et al., 2017; Liu & Xiao, 2015). The corresponding performance also evolves, possibly as a result of the placement and distribution of supply and distribution resources among the involved sectors. Due to decision makers' limited prior knowledge, logistics operations have historically been individualized and unsystematic, ignoring the interaction between time-varying demand and the systematic planning of logistics operations. The necessary infrastructure, transportation, staffing levels, and services must all be considered when designing the cost-optimal distribution strategy (Ali Torabi, Shokr, Tofighi, & Heydari, 2018; Ouhader & El kyal, 2017a; Pimentel & Alvelos, 2018).

3.4. Projected Future Trends for Improving Cost Interoperability in Routing Heuristics

On problems involving mixed-integer optimization, hybridization can be used to evaluate the resulting hybrid optimizers. There is a mismatch between applying the best soft computation strategy and optimizing the creation of first-rate solution steps that produce results that are better than the desired objectives. This can be achieved by first examining the contributions made by each algorithm to the development of a more flexible and dependable logistics model, and then by making some suggestions for improvisation. Additional multi-objective mixed integer optimization issues may be resolved using the technique by incorporating it into other multi-objective optimization frameworks (Boumpa et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2022).
The replacement strategy of the hybridized framework is comparable to contemporary methods of handling constraints. Heuristic hybridization attempts are made when flexibility is considered, and the knowledge base is changed using the parameters that have already been optimized. Recently, hyper-heuristic algorithms have been developed to reduce the cost of developing an algorithm for a novel input problem (Miranda, Prudêncio, & Pappa, 2017). This was achieved by solving related problems with previously developed algorithms. The effectiveness of online hyper-heuristics could be improved by using a methodology for problem description that is more flexible. Hyper-heuristics should, in retrospect, be included in scheduling models with precise problem slicing and expanding prior routing knowledge. Other options that might be successful should be considered when storing historical data in addition to the best option for the issue.

Research has attempted to combine several prominent routing strategy advantages, such as simultaneous deployment and maximizing distance coverage between various vehicles during a single deployment to lower operational costs. Scheduling models sought to balance the elements of trying to achieve the best performance while at the same time utilizing the least amount of operational cost, which was one of the most obvious trade-offs (Calabrò, Torrisi, Inturri, & Ignacccolo, 2020; Gdowska et al., 2018; Zhan et al., 2022). Locating the strategic depot centers to enable a linear flow of goods among the impacted areas is a common issue raised by routing optimization (Zhan et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2017). The distribution of resources must be flexible to account for operational constraints, various forecasting stages, and the rate of task distribution replenishments. The ideal location for the central warehouses among the designated areas, the capacity of the central warehouses, and the best order strategy for replenishing and restoring perishable goods must all be taken into account in the modeling schematic (Jayarathna et al., 2022; Tavana, Abtahi, Di Caprio, Hashemi, & Yousefi-Zenouz, 2018; Vahdani, Veysmoradi, Noori, & Mansour, 2018). Transportation of goods and cross-products between regional distribution hubs also has a chance of lowering the amount of unmet demand. The multiple depot vehicle routing problem can be incorporated into integrated models along with additional multi-echelon strategies.

To reduce overall transportation costs and keep the shortest possible travel distance between origin and destination nodes, the routing model promoting cost interoperability formulation should focus on minimizing uncertainty to operational costs. By lowering the maximum travel time with an objective function or considering social factors when designing networks, efficient routing heuristics could be used to ensure that the developed hub location model's service guarantee has a high likelihood of being met (Marković et al., 2020; Pimentel & Alvelos, 2018; Zhalechian, Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, Rahimi, & Jolai, 2017). Prior underworking and associated multi-dispatch analyses indicated that using sensitivity analysis might make it possible to maintain the deployment cost while increasing travel distance and load capacity (L. Zhang et al., 2022). When there is a high level of urgency, noise-related issues impede the transportation of logistics goods such as delays between dispatch and arrival. In the real world, where products must be delivered in demand and in priority order among applying depots, reducing this problem is essential to preventing waste, both in terms of simulation costs and in the real world (Calabrò et al., 2020; Yao Zhang et al., 2022). Model extensions should work to improve the efficiency of a multi-dimension operation as determined by order fulfillment, customer service priorities, and the urgency of various product customizations while being constrained by a predetermined fleet size. Because more customer demand could be met directly by existing stock as inventory levels rose, there would be less of a need to produce new batches, therefore, promoting operational cost reduction in the process.

In numerous related routing studies, iterated local search and other routing heuristics had been combined to improve the method for simulating desirable scheduling performance, whether in terms of cost optimality or identifying the relevant routing variables to produce a practical scheduling method that takes into account all common issues. Based on deterministic neighborhood search and the Tabu search heuristic, an example of iterated local search incorporation had been implemented (Christopher et al., 2021). The development of a multi-depot open location routing problem with a heterogeneous fixed fleet had been improved through the integration of a multi-population-based algorithm with the goal to find the most economical and effective route for delivering goods on pallets from important farms to the main depot (Nucamendi-Guillén et al., 2021). A heuristic and meta-heuristic approach was innovated to solve the VRP with stochastic demand centralized for municipal waste collection in the City of Niš (Marković et al., 2020), demonstrating proficiency in 10% fuel price reduction for mechanization purposes. The savings heuristic is another subservient alternative for cost interoperability in terms of delegating relevant distribution nodes apart with producing the most optimal allocation route, based on the calculation of the cost savings obtained by offering services to two clients simultaneously along the same path.
The saving properties generated from comparing the array of prior best qualities for customer and depot clustering exhibit inherent parallelism and the ability to be applied sequentially to enhance the optimization potential for finding the best route and maximizing cost allocation goals. The cost savings operates by providing services to two customers simultaneously along the same route. Many recent routing heuristics had successfully integrated savings algorithms with several improvements, including the definition of new parameters, the assessment of penalty multipliers, the addition of a probabilistic approach, and the application of a post-improvement procedure to manage the influence of neighborhood structures. For example, a mathematical model for the distribution of LPG gas that was based on VRP and had simultaneous pickup and delivery was employed with savings heuristic as the solution strategy (Tunnisaki & Sutarman, 2023), producing promising cost savings between deployed vehicle collections by 20.3% variance when compared with the conventional deployment strategy.

The steel distribution industry in Thailand had also successfully incorporated and developed another application of the savings heuristic for capacitated distribution of supplies (Kunnapapdeealert & Thawnern, 2021), demonstrating benefits from incorporating savings list generation and distance-saving procedure for customer pairs into a similar deployment strategy used by conventional routing strategy by optimizing the conventional method by 8.08%. The development of CVRP for delivery routes serving the Grobogan district incorporated savings heuristic via a combination of the Nearest Neighbor, Saving Matrix, and Sequential Insertion algorithms (Fitriani et al., 2021) on data clusters containing random customer data clusters and 19 constituting villages, demonstrating drastic cost reduction for certain deployments from the proposed simulation work when compared with the traditional routing strategy.

4. Conclusions

This study synthesised on how routing systems can be optimized to reduce costs under certain scheduling constraints to meet multiple logistics and distribution demands from the accumulation of comparative works ranging from 2014 to 2023. As a way to emphasize the necessity to develop routing models that incorporate comprehensive cost optimization characteristics to plan and simulate routing propagation strategies more effectively, this paper identified various differentiated implementation strategies aimed at resolving specific routing issues under a similar envisage of cost optimization. Several noteworthy achievements have been achieved by prior research on resolving the current prominent issues which are deemed worthy for further mentioning in this review. The accomplishments involved parameters such as time windows, load capacities, and distance coverage.

As per the requirements for task distribution purposes on executing successful deployment trips while adhering situationally to routing constraints in the context of cost reduction impositions, the cost interoperability for a specific routing scheduling pattern can be efficiently and accurately optimized by using intelligent optimization measures to assist strategic decision making as scheduling parameters. By reducing costs, increasing efficiency, and improving operational performance, a routing system is improved. Based on all of the included review topics related to cost interoperability in routing heuristics, it is hoped that this research study will serve as a guiding benchmark in providing a literary presentation on the potential outcomes that could be emulated with the incorporation of fundamental and proficient routing heuristic measures to improve the efficacies of the current solution strategy in order to emulate better routing optimization and resource allocation.
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